## FOCK REPRESENTATIONS

### RYAN GRADY

In this note we use Clifford algebras and their Fock representations to build representations of LU(N) and LSU(N). Let H be the Hilbert space of square-integrable sections of the trivial rank N complex vector bundle over  $S^1$  and  $P: H \to H$  the projection onto the space spanned by non-negative Fourier modes. To this data we can associate the Fermionic Fock space  $\mathfrak{F}_P$ .  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  is a representation of level 1 of LU(N). It turns out that all positive energy representations LSU(N) at level l are contained in the Fermionic representation  $\mathfrak{F}_P^{\otimes l}$ .

## 1. CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS AND FOCK REPRESENTATIONS

1.1. The Clifford Algebra. Let  $(H, \langle , \rangle)$  be a complex Hilbert space, the complex Clifford algebra C(H) is the unital \*-algebra generated by a complex linear map  $f \mapsto c(f)$  for  $f \in H$  satisfying the anticommutation relations

$$c(f)c(g) + c(g)c(f) = 0$$

and

$$c(f)c(g)^* + c(g)^*c(f) = \langle f, g \rangle$$

Note that we can realize C(H) explicitly by

$$C(H) = T(H)/(f \otimes f - \langle f, f \rangle).$$

The Clifford algebra has a natural action on  $\Lambda H$  given by  $\pi(c(f))\omega = f \wedge \omega$ , the complex wave representation. Let  $\Omega = 1 \in \Lambda^0 H$  be the vacuum vector, which is cyclic. The annhibition action  $a(f) = c(f)^*$  is given by annhibiting  $\Omega$  and on decomposables by

$$a(f)(\omega_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \langle \omega_j, f \rangle \omega_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\omega_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n.$$

Annhibition and creation really are adjoint with respect to the the inner product

$$\langle \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_n, \eta_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_n \rangle = \operatorname{Det}[\langle \omega_i, \eta_j \rangle].$$

**Proposition 1.1.** The wave representation is irreducible.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \text{End}(\Lambda H)$  commuting with all a(f)'s, so for each  $f \in H$ 

$$a(f)T\Omega = Ta(f)\Omega = 0,$$

Date: August 17, 2010.

hence  $T\Omega = \lambda \Omega$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  as

$$\bigcap_{f\in H} \ker a(f) = \Omega \mathbb{C}.$$

Indeed if  $\zeta \in \cap \ker a(f)$  then

$$\langle \zeta, f_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_m \rangle = \langle a(f_0)\zeta, f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_m \rangle = 0,$$

and by linearity  $\zeta$  is orthogonal to all elements  $\bigoplus_{n>0} \Lambda^n(H)$  and hence lies in  $\Lambda^0(H) = \Omega \mathbb{C}$ . Now if T also commutes with all c(f)'s, then  $T = \lambda I$  as  $\Omega$  is cyclic for the c(f)'s.  $\Box$ 

1.2. Unitary Structures. Now, let (V, (, )) be a real Hilbert space of dimension other than odd (i.e. even or infinite). A unitary structure on V is  $J \in O(V)$  such that  $J^2 = -I$ . We use J to make V into a complex vector space (iv = J(v)) equipped with a Hermitian inner product which we will denote by  $(V_J, \langle , \rangle)$  where

$$\langle v, w \rangle = (v, w) + i(v, J(w)).$$

We can use unitary structures to get more irreducible representations of Clifford algebras. Given a unitary structure J, define

$$P_J = \frac{1}{2}(I - iJ) \in \operatorname{End}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}).$$

Let H be the Hilbert space  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  with inner product

$$\langle x \otimes \mu, y \otimes \nu \rangle = (x, y) \mu \overline{\nu}$$

As  $J^2 = -I$ , we deduce that  $P_J$  is a projection operator. Denote by  $F_J = P_J H$ , which is also the +i eigenspace of J.

The above discussion is symmetric in the sense that if P is a projection on a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space such that  $P + \Sigma P \Sigma = I$ , where  $\Sigma$  denotes complex conjugation, then

$$J = i(2P - I)$$

defines a unitary structure on V.

Given a  $P_J$  as above define the fermionic Fock space  $\mathfrak{F}_P = \Lambda P_J H \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(P_J^{\perp} H)^*$ .  $C(V_J)$  acts irreducibly by  $\pi_J(c(f)) = c(Pf) + c((P^{\perp}f)^*)^*$ . In terms of  $F_J$  we define  $\mathfrak{F}(F_J) = \Lambda(\overline{F}_J)$ and complete with respect to the induced inner product  $\overline{F}_J \subset V$ .  $\overline{v} \in \overline{L}$  acts via creation and  $v \in L$  acts via annhibition. These two notions agree, despite our insistence on different notation.

**I. Segal-Shale Equivalence Criterion.** If J and K are unitary structures on V, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) the Fock representations  $\pi_J$  and  $\pi_K$  are unitarily equivalent;
- (2) the difference  $P_K P_J$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator;

(3) the composite linear operator

$$F_K \subset V \to \overline{F}_J$$

is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Proof.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : this is immediate as the operator can be identified with  $(P_K - P_J)|_{F_K}$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ : the claim follows as

$$P_K - P_J = (I - P_J)P_K - P_J(I - P_K)$$
  
=  $(I - P_J)P_K - \Sigma(I - P_J)P_K\Sigma$ 

and  $(I - P_J)P_K$  is zero on  $\overline{F}_K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma F_K$  and restricts to  $F_K$  as the operator  $F_K \to \overline{F}_J$  as in (3).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  (the converse is also true, but we don't give the proof):

- If the representations are finite then they are equivalent to  $\Lambda H$ , so we may assume that they are infinite dimensional.
- $T \stackrel{def}{=} (P_K P_J)^2$  is compact, so by the spectral theorem

$$H = \bigoplus_{\lambda \ge 0} H_{\lambda}$$

and  $P_K = P_J$  on  $H_0$ .

• T commutes with both  $P_K$  and  $P_J$ , so the  $H_{\lambda}$  are invariant under  $P_K$  and  $P_J$ . We can therefore further decompose H into

$$H = \bigoplus_j V_j$$

where  $V_j$  are finite dimensional irreducible submodules for  $P_K$  and  $P_J$  which are also eigenspaces for T.

- For any j,  $P_K$  and  $P_J$  (and I) generate  $\operatorname{End}(V_j)$ , so dim  $\operatorname{End}(V_j) \leq 4$  and therefore dim  $V_j = 1$  or 2.
- We can choose an orthonormal basis  $(e_i)_{i\geq -a}$  for  $P_K^{\perp}H$  with each  $e_i$  in some  $V_j$  and

$$P_J^{\perp} e_{-1} = \dots = P_J^{\perp} e_{-a} = 0$$
 and  $P_J^{\perp} e_i \neq 0$ 

for  $i \ge 0$ . We complete to an orthonormal basis of H by adding vectors from the  $V_i$ 's.

- Let  $(f_l)_{k\geq -b}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $P_J^{\perp}H$  such that  $f_l \in V_j \ni e_l$  if  $l \geq 0$  and  $\langle e_l, f_l \rangle > 0$ .
- If  $V_j$  is a  $\lambda_i$ -eigenspace for T and  $e_l$  and  $f_l \in V_j$ , then  $\langle e_l, f_l \rangle = \sqrt{1 \lambda_i}$ .
- $||P_K P_J||_2^2 = \text{Tr}T = a + b + 2\sum \lambda_i$ , so in particular  $\sum \lambda_i < \infty$ .

- We now build a representation of C(V) that intertwines the representations  $\pi_K$  and  $\pi_J$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis given by symbols  $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots$  where  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$  and  $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$  for k large. Then  $\pi(c(f)) = f \wedge$  yields a representation of C(V).
- Define the cyclic vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  by

$$\xi = e_{-a} \wedge e_{-a+1} \wedge \cdots$$

- $\langle \pi(a)\xi,\xi\rangle = \langle \pi_K(a)\Omega_K,\Omega_K\rangle$  and  $U(\pi_K(a)\Omega_K) \stackrel{def}{=} \pi(a)\xi$  defines a unitary from  $\mathfrak{F}_{P_K}$ onto  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(a) = U\pi_K(a)U^*$  for some unitary  $U \in U(\mathfrak{F}_{P_K})$ .
- To complete the proof it is enough to find  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\langle \pi(a)\eta,\eta\rangle = \langle \pi_J(a)\Omega_J,\Omega_J\rangle.$$

• Define

$$\eta_N = f_{-b} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{-1} \wedge f_0 \wedge \dots f_N \wedge e_{N+1} \wedge e_{N+2} \wedge \dots$$

It is clear that for  $a \in C(V)$  there exists an N large enough (depending on a) such that

$$\langle \pi(a)\eta_N,\eta_N\rangle = \langle \pi_J(a)\Omega_J,\Omega_J\rangle$$

Hence we need to show that the sequence  $\{\eta_N\}$  has a limit.

•  $\{\eta_N\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed,

$$\langle \eta_N, \eta_M \rangle = \prod_{i=M+1}^N (e_i, f_i) = \prod_{i=M+1}^N \sqrt{1 - \lambda_i}$$

and as  $\sum \lambda_i < \infty$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\langle \eta_N, \eta_M \rangle \to 1$  as  $M \leq N \to \infty$ .

### 2. Implementation and the Basic Representation

Let  $u \in U(V_J)$ , then u yields an automorphism of C(V) via  $c(f) \mapsto c(uf)$ . An automorphism is said to be *implemented* in  $\pi_J$  (or  $\mathfrak{F}_P$ ) if  $\pi_J(c(uf)) = U\pi_J(c(f))U^*$  for some unitary  $U \in U(\mathfrak{F}_P)$  unique up to a phase (i.e.  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}$ ).

## **Proposition 2.1.** *u* is implemented in $\mathfrak{F}_P$ if [u, P] is Hilbert-Schmidt.

*Proof.* Let  $Q = u^* P_J u$ , for J a unitary structure and let K be the unitary structure corresponding to Q. Then  $\pi_J(c(uf)) = \pi_K(c(f)) = U\pi_J(c(f))U^*$ , where the last equality follows from the equivalence criterion.

Define the restricted unitary group  $U_P(V_J) = \{u \in U(V_J) : [u, P] \text{ Hilbert-Schmidt}\}$ , it is a topological group under the strong operator topology and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. By the above corollary, there is a homomorphism  $\pi : U_P(V_J) \to PU(\mathfrak{F}_P)$ , called the *basic* projective representation.

### Lemma 2.2. The basic representation is continuous.

Recall that it is enough to verify the above lemma at the identity, i.e. if  $u_n \xrightarrow{s} I$  and  $||[u_n, P]||_2 \to 0$ , then there exists lifts  $U_n \in U(\mathfrak{F}_P)$  of  $\pi(u_n)$  such that  $U_n \xrightarrow{s} I$ .

Note that if [u, P] = 0, then u is canonically implemented in  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  (i.e. we actually have a unitary action) and we refer to this as *canonical quantization*. Similarly if  $uPu^* = I - P$  then u is canonically implemented by a conjugate-linear isometry in  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  again called canonical quantization.

# 3. Relation to CFT

In [2], Segal associates to a Lie group G and level  $\ell \in H^4(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  a weakly conformal field theory. We now describe this construction.

We need to associate to each closed one manifold equipped with a label a vector space and to each surface with boundary a finite dimensional vector space built from the boundary vector spaces. Labels in this context correspond to positive energy representations of LG at level  $\ell$ . Let E denote the field theory, then  $E(S^1, \rho) = V_{\rho}$ .

Let  $Y_0 \xrightarrow{\Sigma} Y_1$  be a bordism with boundary components equipped with labels. Let  $N(Y_i)$  be a tubular neighborhood in  $\Sigma$ , then we have a restriction map

$$\operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma, G_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \operatorname{Hol}(N(Y_0), G_{\mathbb{C}}) \times \operatorname{Hol}(N(Y_1), G_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

We have a natural (projective) action of  $\operatorname{Map}(Y_0, G) \times \operatorname{Map}(Y_1, G)$  on the vector space  $V_{\Sigma}$ , where

$$V_{\Sigma} = \bigotimes_{\rho \in \text{Labels}(Y_0)} V_{\rho}^{\vee} \otimes \bigotimes_{\eta \in \text{Labels}(Y_1)} V_{\eta}.$$

Via the two maps  $\operatorname{Hol}(N(Y_i), G_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \operatorname{Map}(Y_i, G)$ , we get an action of  $\operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma, G_{\mathbb{C}})$  on  $V_{\Sigma}$ , then define

$$E(\Sigma) = V(\Sigma)^{\operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma, G_{\mathbb{C}})}.$$

It turns out that this fixed subspace is finite dimensional and further there is an  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$E(\Sigma) = E'(\Sigma) \otimes \mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}(\Sigma).$$

The  $E'(\Sigma)$  corresponds to a three dimensional TFT, namely Chern-Simons.

It is of note that in order to see the fusion of PERs on the CFT side, one must be able to extend Chern-Simons to points.

3.1. The Action of LU(N). Define  $H = L^2(S^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ , and  $P : H \to H_{\geq 0}$  the projection onto the Hardy space. For  $f \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ , let m(f) denote the corresponding multiplication operator. One can check that

$$||[P, m(f)]||_2 \le ||f'||_2.$$

So LU(N) satisfies the quantization criterion and we get a projective representation of LU(N) on  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  which is continuous for the subspace topology  $LU(N) \subset C^{\infty}(S^1, \operatorname{End}(V))$ . Similarly, there is a continuous projective representation of LSU(N) on  $\mathfrak{F}_P$ .

Let G = U(N) and  $1 = \ell \in H^4(BU(N), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\rho$  be such that  $E(S^1, \rho) = \mathfrak{F}_P$ . Consider the disk  $\Sigma$  as a bordism from  $\emptyset$  to  $(S^1, \rho)$ , we then have

$$V_{\rho}^{\operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma,GL(N))} = V_{\rho}^{H_{\geq 0}} = \Omega \mathbb{C}_{P}$$

where  $\Omega = 1 \in \Lambda^0(PH) \subset \mathfrak{F}_P$  is the vacuum vector.

3.2. The Rot  $S^1$  Action. The rotation group Rot  $S^1$  acts on LU(N) (or any LG) by  $(r_{\alpha}f)(\theta) = f(\theta + \alpha)$ . Similarly, Rot  $S^1$  acts in a unitary fashion on  $H = L^2(S^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$  which leaves  $H_{\geq 0}$  invariant, hence this action is canonically quantized. As a result we get a projective representation of  $LU(N) \rtimes \text{Rot } S^1$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  which restricts to an honest representation on Rot  $S^1$ . The spectral decomposition of this Rot  $S^1$  action gives the energy grading.

3.3. The Diff $(S^1)$  Action. Consider the subgroup of Diff $(S^1)$  which extend to the disk in a way that preserves the conformal structure. This group (or rather its double cover) is  $SU_{\pm}(1,1)$  which can be described explicitly by

$$SU_{\pm}(1,1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = \pm 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $SU_{+}(1,1)$  denote the elements which preserve orientation i.e. have determinant 1. Note that  $SU_{-}(1,1)$  is a coset of  $SU_{+}(1,1)$  with representative  $F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The action of  $SU_{\pm}(1,1)$  on  $S^{1}$  is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\overline{\beta} z + \overline{\alpha}},$$

which leads to a unitary action on H via

$$(V_g \cdot f)(z) = \frac{f(g^{-1}(z))}{(\alpha - \overline{\beta}z)}.$$

For |z| < 1 and  $|\alpha| > |\beta| (\alpha - \overline{\beta}z)^{-1}$  is holomorphic, so for each  $g \in SU_+(1,1)$ ,  $V_g$  commutes with the projection P and hence the action is canonically quantized. Note that  $(V_F \cdot f)(z) = z^{-1}f(z^{-1})$ , so FPF = I - P and hence F is canonically implemented in  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  by a conjugate linear isometry fixing the vacuum vector. We thus have an orthogonal representation of  $SU_{\pm}(1,1)$  for the underlying real inner product on  $\mathfrak{F}_P$ , with  $SU_+(1,1)$  preserving the complex structure and  $SU_-(1,1)$  reversing it. The same is true in  $\mathfrak{F}_P^{\otimes \ell}$ . 3.4. The Charge Grading. Consider the constant loops  $U(1) \subset LU(1)$  sitting inside of LU(N) via the diagonal embedding. This action is given by multiplication by z on H, the action is canonically quantized and we let  $U_z$  denote the operator on  $\mathfrak{F}_p$  corresponding to  $z \in U(1)$ . Note that this U(1) action gives the usual grading of the (non-completed) exterior algebra  $\Lambda PH$  and the inverted grading on  $\Lambda(P^{\perp}H)^*$ , the total grading on  $\mathfrak{F}_P$  is called the *charge* grading, i.e.  $\omega \in \Lambda^p PH \otimes \Lambda^q (P^{\perp}H)^*$  has charge p - q. The  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading on  $\mathfrak{F}_p$  is given by  $U_{-1}$  eigenspaces.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $z \in U(1)$ , then for all  $g \in LSU(N)$ ,  $\pi(g)U_z\pi(g)^* = U_z$ . That is, the LSU(N) action is compatible with the charge grading.

**Corollary 3.2.** The operator  $\pi(g)$  is even (i.e. commutes with  $U_{-1}$ ) for all  $g \in LSU(N)$ .

### 4. FUNCTORIALITY OF THE FOCK REPRESENTATION

Note the notation has changed, in what follows F(L) represents  $\mathfrak{F}_P$ , where L = PV. The language of generalized lagrangians is more amenable to what follows and hence the change in notation.

Symplectic reduction is a quotient construction for symplectic vector spaces. Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a symplectic vector space, i.e.  $\omega$  is a non-degenerate, skew-symmetric, bilinear form. For a subspace  $U \subset V$ , the annhibitor  $U^{\perp}$  is defined by

$$U^{\perp} := \{ v \in V : \omega(v, u) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \}.$$

A subspace  $U \subset V$  is *isotropic* if  $U \subseteq U^{\perp}$ . Given an isotropic subspace  $U \subset V$  we produce a new symplectic vector space  $(W, \eta)$  called the *symplectic reduction* of  $(V, \omega)$ .  $(W, \eta)$  is defined as

$$W := U^{\perp}/U$$
 with symplectic form  $\eta([u_1], [u_2]) := \omega(u_1, u_2).$ 

It is easy to see that dim  $W = \dim V - 2 \dim U$ . Further, if  $L \subset V$  is a Lagrangian, then we get a Lagrangian  $L^{\text{red}}$ , where

$$L^{\mathrm{red}} := \left(L \cap U^{\perp}\right) / (L \cap U).$$

We would like to view the assignments  $V \mapsto C(V)$  and  $L \mapsto F(L)$  as a functor. The objects of the domain category are complex Hilbert spaces with involutions and morphisms from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  are Lagrangian subspaces of  $V_2 \oplus -V_1$ . Given two morphisms  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  which we visualize as

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{L_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{L_2} V_3$$

we want to form their composition which is a Lagrangian of  $V_3 \oplus -V_1$ . This is accomplished via symplectic reduction of the Lagrangian

$$L = L_2 \oplus L_1 \subset V_3 \oplus -V_2 \oplus V_2 \oplus -V_1$$

with regards to the isotropic subspace

$$U = \{ (0, v_2, v_2, 0) | v_2 \in V_2 \} \subset V_3 \oplus -V_2 \oplus V_2 \oplus -V_1.$$

Indeed this yields the desired result as  $U^{\perp}/U \cong V_3 \oplus -V_1$ .

The range category of our potential functor is that of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebras. Explicitly, the objects of this category are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebras and the morphisms are pointed, graded bimodules. The composition of a pointed *B*-*A*-bimodule  $(M, m_0)$  and a pointed *C*-*B*-bimodule  $(N, n_0)$  is the pointed *C*-*A*-bimodule  $(N \otimes_B M, n_0 \otimes m_0)$ . If C(V) generates a Type I von Neumann algebra in B(F(L)), then the Clifford algebra and Fock space construction is a lax functor (see [3]). In the case that C(V) is not of Type I, we need to use Connes' Fusion.

#### References

- [1] Plymen, R.J., & Robinson, P.L., Spinors in Hilbert space, Cambridge Univ Press, 1994.
- [2] Segal, G., Notes on conformal field theory, preprint.
- [3] Stolz, S. & Teichner, P., What is an elliptic object?, Topology, Geometry, and Quanutm Field Theory., LMSLNS 308, 2004.
- [4] Wasserman, A., Operator algebras and conformal field theory III., Invent. math. 133, 467-538, 1998.