

# GELFAND–TSETLIN BASES AND CRYSTALS

VINOTH NANDAKUMAR (LIVE TEXED BY STEVEN SAM)

## 1. GELFAND–TSETLIN BASES

**1.1. General construction.** Recall: the finite-dimensional irreducible polynomial representations of  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  are in bijection with partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$ , which we represent as Young diagrams with at most  $n$  rows. Call this latter set  $Y_n$ . Let  $V_\lambda$  denote the representation corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Our goal is to construct nice bases for  $V_\lambda$  that are well-behaved with respect to restrictions and tensor products.

The idea is work by induction. Suppose that we have a Gelfand–Tsetlin (G-T) basis for all irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{GL}_{n-1}$ . We consider the restriction of  $V_\lambda$  to  $\mathbf{GL}_{n-1}$ , decompose it as a direct sum of irreducible representations, and take the Gelfand–Tsetlin basis of each of these. This gives a basis for  $V_\lambda$  itself. Of course, we have to make a choice when we decompose  $V_\lambda$  into irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{GL}_{n-1}$ , so the notion of Gelfand–Tsetlin basis can only be well defined up to such choices. However, in this case the decomposition is multiplicity free, so in the end we get a basis for  $V(\lambda)$  which is well defined up to rescaling each basis vector.

### 1.2. Combinatorics.

**Definition 1.1.** For  $\lambda \in Y_n$  and  $\lambda' \in Y_{n-1}$  with  $\lambda' \subset \lambda$ , say that  $\lambda/\lambda'$  is a **horizontal strip** if each column in  $\lambda/\lambda'$  has at most 1 element.  $\square$

Then we have

$$V_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda/\lambda' \text{ horizontal strip}} V_{\lambda'}$$

as  $\mathbf{GL}_{n-1}$ -representations.

**Definition 1.2.** A **GT-pattern** is a triangular array of numbers  $(\lambda_{ij})_{n \geq i \geq j \geq 1}$  such that  $\lambda_{ij} \geq \lambda_{i-1,j} \geq \lambda_{i,j+1}$ . These are in bijection with semistandard Young tableaux by considering the successive shapes

$$\lambda_{1,\bullet} \subseteq \lambda_{2,\bullet} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \lambda_{n,\bullet}$$

and labeling the boxes in  $\lambda_{i,\bullet} \setminus \lambda_{i-1,\bullet}$  with the number  $i$ . Call this bijection  $\tau$ .  $\square$

The G-T basis of  $V_\lambda$  is parametrized by GT-patterns with  $\lambda_{n,\bullet} = \lambda$ . To describe the restriction  $V_\lambda \downarrow_{\mathbf{GL}_{n-1}}$ , take the union of GT-bases for  $\mathbf{GL}_{n-1}$  representations, where you forget about the top row.

**1.3. Orthogonal Lie algebras.** The representations of  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$  are parametrized by sequences  $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$  which are either all integers or all half-integers and for  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ , they are parametrized by  $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|$  which are either all integers or all half-integers.

The branching rules are

$$V_\lambda \downarrow_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}} \cong \bigoplus V_\mu$$

where  $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq |\mu_n|$  and

$$V_\lambda \downarrow_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1}}^{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}} \cong \bigoplus V_\mu$$

---

*Date:* April 8, 2011.

where  $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|$ .

Once again the branchings are multiplicity free, so one can define analogues of G-T bases and G-T patterns in this case.

## 2. G-T BASES COMPATIBLE WITH CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

Now consider  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ . One can construct GT bases for the irreducible representations  $V_\lambda$ , just as for  $\mathbf{GL}_n$ , which are well defined up to individual rescaling of the basis vectors. Also, consider the vector representation  $V$ . It is well known that  $V^{\otimes N} \cong \bigoplus_T V_{\text{shape}(T)}$ , where  $T$  ranges over standard Young tableaux with  $N$  nodes and at most  $n$  rows.

The main result states the following: there is an appropriate decomposition of choice of  $V^{\otimes N}$  into irreducible representations  $V(T_R)$  corresponding to each standard Young tableau  $T$ , and an appropriate normalization of the G-T bases for each of these representations, such that when  $q \rightarrow 0$ , the G-T basis vector approach the standard basis vectors of  $V^{\otimes N}$ . For  $q^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ , the same is true, but we use different decompositions  $V(T_L)$ , and a different normalization of the G-T basis elements. In modern language, this occurs because both the G-T bases (correctly normalized) and the standard basis of  $V^{\otimes N}$  are crystal bases. In fact, the results discussed in this section, due to Date, Jimbo and Miwa [3], were an important precursor to the notion of a crystal basis.

The remainder of this section is occupied with making these statements precise and providing a proof.

**2.1. Action of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  on G-T basis.**  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  is generated by  $q^{\varepsilon_i/2}$ ,  $q^{-\varepsilon_i/2}$ ,  $X_j^+$ ,  $X_j^-$ . Then denoting the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis elements of the  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -module  $V_\lambda$  by  $|m\rangle$ , the action of the above generators is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\varepsilon_i/2}|m\rangle &= q^{\sum_{i=1}^j m_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{i,j-1}}|m\rangle \\ X_j^+|m\rangle &= \sum^{(j)} c_j(m, m')|m'\rangle \\ X_j^-|m\rangle &= \sum^{(j)} c_j(m, m')|m'\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_j(m, m') \neq 0$  only if there exists  $i$  such that  $m'_{ij} = m_{ij} - 1$ ,  $m'_{ab} = m_{ab} \forall (a, b) \neq (i, j)$ , in which case the coefficients are rather complicated to write down. The highest weight vector is given by the Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern with first row  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , second row  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$  and so on.

**2.2. The embedding  $V_W \subset V_Y \otimes V$ .** Say  $Y \xrightarrow{\mu} W$  if  $W$  is obtained from  $Y$  by adding a box in the  $\mu$ th row. We will now describe explicitly the decomposition  $V_Y \otimes V \cong \bigoplus_{Y \xrightarrow{\mu} W} V_W$ . Given  $|m\rangle \in GT(W)$ , define  $|m'\rangle = |m; i_n, \dots, i_j\rangle \in GT(Y)$  (note the slight abuse of notation:  $|m'\rangle$  is not a single element), where for  $j \leq k \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i_k \leq k$ ,  $m'_{ik} = m_{i_k, k} - 1$  if  $j \leq k \leq n$ ,  $i = i_k$  and  $m'_{ik} = m_{ik}$  otherwise. Then the above branching rule is determined explicitly by the following, where the coefficients  $w_q(m; i_n, \dots, i_j)$  are known as Wigner coefficients.

$$|m\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i_n=\mu, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_1} w_q(m; i_n, \dots, i_j) |m; i_n, \dots, i_j\rangle \otimes v_j$$

**2.3. RSK.** We'll define two bijections  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  between  $\{1, \dots, n\}^N$  and  $\coprod_Y S(Y) \times T(Y)$  ranging over all Young diagrams  $Y$  with  $N$  nodes and at most  $n$  rows, where  $S(Y)$  is the set of semistandard Young tableaux of shape  $Y$ , and  $T(Y)$  is the set of standard Young tableaux of shape  $Y$ .

First, given a SSYT  $S$  and a number  $x$ , define the  $\alpha$ -insertion  $S \leftarrow x$  to be the jdt rectification of the shape obtained by adjoining  $x$  to the lower left corner of the tableau  $S$ . Given a word  $w = w_1 \dots w_N$ , define  $\alpha_S(w) = (((w_1 \leftarrow w_2) \leftarrow w_3) \dots) \leftarrow w_N$ , and let  $\alpha_T(w)$  record the growth of the subsequent shapes. The bijection  $\alpha$  is then  $w \rightarrow (\alpha_S(w), \alpha_T(w))$ .

The second bijection  $\beta$  is defined in the same way, but where  $\beta$ -insertion, given a SSYT  $S$  and a number  $x$ ,  $S \downarrow x$  denotes the jdt rectification of the shape obtained by adjoining  $x$  to the upper right corner of the tableau  $S$ . Then  $\beta_S(w)$  and  $\beta_T(w)$  are defined as above. The importance of  $\alpha$ -insertion and  $\beta$ -insertion to study the embedding  $V_W \subset V_Y \otimes V$  is detailed in the below proposition:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Given  $Y \xrightarrow{\mu} W$ , node added in the  $\nu$ th column. Fix  $R \in S(W)$ , and let  $|m\rangle \in GT(W)$  be the corresponding Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern. Set*

$$|m'\rangle = \begin{cases} \tau^{-1}(R \rightarrow \nu) & q \rightarrow 0 \\ \tau^{-1}(R \uparrow \mu) & q^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}.$$

Here  $R \rightarrow \nu$  (resp  $R \uparrow \mu$ ) are the deletion procedures inverse to  $S \leftarrow \mu$  and  $S \downarrow \mu$ . If the deletion throws away the letter  $j$ , then under the embedding  $V_W \subset V_Y \otimes V$ , we have:

$$\lim_{q^{\pm 1} \rightarrow 0} |m\rangle = \lim_{q^{\pm 1} \rightarrow 0} (\pm 1)^{\mu-1} |m'\rangle \otimes v_j$$

**2.4. Proof of Main Theorem.** First we explicitly describe the decomposition  $V^{\otimes N} = \oplus_T V_T$  in two different ways: recall that  $T$  ranges over all standard tableau with  $N$  nodes and  $\leq n$  rows. Given a fixed tableau  $T$ , suppose the entry  $k$  entry occurs in the position  $(\mu_k, \nu_k)$ . To describe the first decomposition  $V^{\otimes N} \cong \oplus_T V(T_R)$ , the embedding  $V(T_R) \rightarrow V^{\otimes N}$  is defined inductively: let  $T_i$  be the subtableau of  $T$  consisting of entries  $\leq i$ , then  $V_{T_1} \cong V$ , embed  $V_{T_2} \hookrightarrow V_{T_1} \otimes V$ , and so on until we get  $V(T) = V(T_N) \hookrightarrow V_{T_{N-1}} \otimes V$ ; composing we get an embedding  $V_T \rightarrow V^{\otimes N}$ , and the direct sum decomposition  $V^{\otimes N} \cong \oplus_T V(T_R)$  follows inductively from the decomposition  $V_Y \otimes V \cong \oplus_{Y \xrightarrow{\mu} W} V_W$ . The second decomposition  $V^{\otimes N} \cong \oplus_T V(T_L)$  is defined similarly, but using a slightly different embedding  $V(T_2) \hookrightarrow V \otimes V(T_1)$  and so on, using a modification of the Wigner coefficients.

With the notation developed above, for emphasis we now state in full detail the Main Theorem that was quickly mentioned above; and we will note that its proof follows directly from the Proposition above by induction on  $N$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $w = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_N$ , then  $v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_N} \in V^{\otimes N} \cong \oplus_T V(T_R)$ , at the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$ , lies in the copy of  $V_{T_R}$  where  $T = \alpha_T(w)$ , and is the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis element corresponding to the SSYT  $S = \alpha_S(w)$ . A similar statement holds in the limit  $q^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ , where we instead use the decomposition  $V^{\otimes N} \cong \oplus_T V(T_L)$ . Thus in both cases, the union of the Gelfand-Tsetlin bases coincides with the “obvious” bases of  $V^{\otimes N}$ .*

This is clear using the proposition and using induction on  $N$ . Indeed, assume the statement for  $N - 1$ , consider what the vector  $v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{N-1}}$  corresponds to under the decomposition, and then use the Proposition above to deduce the required statement.

REFERENCES

[1] A. I. Molev, Gelfand-Tsetlin Bases for Classical Lie algebras, 2006, arXiv:math/0211289v2  
 [2] Chari, & Pressley, A Guide to Quantum Groups, 1994, Cambridge University Press  
 [3] Date, Jimbo & Miwa, Representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  at  $q = 0$  and the Robinson-Schensted correspondence, Physics and mathematics of strings, 185211, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1990