# Crystal combinatorics from PBW bases<sup>1</sup>

### Peter Tingley with John Claxton, Ben Salisbury and Adam Schultze

Loyola University Chicago

### International Conference on Groups, Rings, Group Rings and Hopf Algebras, in honor of Donald S. Passman Oct 2-4, 2015





3 Nice reduced expressions and bracketing crystal rules

Background

# Background

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

•  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .
- $E_i, F_i$  are the Chevalley generators

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .
- *E<sub>i</sub>*, *F<sub>i</sub>* are the Chevalley generators (for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , matrices that are zero except for a 1 just above/below the diagonal).

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .
- $E_i, F_i$  are the Chevalley generators (for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , matrices that are zero except for a 1 just above/below the diagonal).
- $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the corresponding quantized universal enveloping algebra.

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .
- $E_i, F_i$  are the Chevalley generators (for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , matrices that are zero except for a 1 just above/below the diagonal).
- $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the corresponding quantized universal enveloping algebra.
- $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  is the subalgebra generated by the  $F_i$ .

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .
- $E_i, F_i$  are the Chevalley generators (for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , matrices that are zero except for a 1 just above/below the diagonal).
- $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the corresponding quantized universal enveloping algebra.
- $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  is the subalgebra generated by the  $F_i$ .
- $B(\infty)$  is the crystal for  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ , which you should think of as enumerating a basis

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra...usually  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_n$ .
- $E_i, F_i$  are the Chevalley generators (for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , matrices that are zero except for a 1 just above/below the diagonal).
- $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the corresponding quantized universal enveloping algebra.
- $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  is the subalgebra generated by the  $F_i$ .
- B(∞) is the crystal for U<sup>-</sup><sub>q</sub>(𝔅), which you should think of as enumerating a basis...although don't worry about this because one point of this talk is to discuss a way to construct/define B(∞) in finite type.

### PBW bases and crystal bases

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

• The roots of a Lie algebra **g** are the non-zero weight spaces of **g** acting on itself (these define a set of vector closed under reflection; a root system).

- The roots of a Lie algebra **g** are the non-zero weight spaces of **g** acting on itself (these define a set of vector closed under reflection; a root system).
- For each reduced expression  $w_0 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_N}$ , Lusztig defines an order

$$\alpha_{i_1} = \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \ldots < \beta_N$$

on positive roots, and elements  $F_{\beta_j}$  in  $U_q^-(\mathbf{g})_{\beta_j}$ .

- The roots of a Lie algebra **g** are the non-zero weight spaces of **g** acting on itself (these define a set of vector closed under reflection; a root system).
- For each reduced expression  $w_0 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_N}$ , Lusztig defines an order

$$\alpha_{i_1} = \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \ldots < \beta_N$$

on positive roots, and elements  $F_{\beta_i}$  in  $U_q^-(\mathbf{g})_{\beta_i}$ .

•  $\{F_{\beta_1}^{(n_1)}\cdots F_{\beta_N}^{(n_N)}\}$  is a bases of  $U_q^-(\mathbf{g})$  (a PBW basis).

- The roots of a Lie algebra **g** are the non-zero weight spaces of **g** acting on itself (these define a set of vector closed under reflection; a root system).
- For each reduced expression  $w_0 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_N}$ , Lusztig defines an order

$$\alpha_{i_1} = \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \ldots < \beta_N$$

on positive roots, and elements  $F_{\beta_i}$  in  $U_q^-(\mathbf{g})_{\beta_i}$ .

- $\{F_{\beta_1}^{(n_1)}\cdots F_{\beta_N}^{(n_N)}\}$  is a bases of  $U_q^-(\mathbf{g})$  (a PBW basis).
- There is one such basis  $B_i$  for each expression **i** of  $w_0$ .

### Relationship between different PBW bases

### Relationship between different PBW bases

### Theorem (Lusztig)

### Let $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then $\mathcal{L}$ does not depend on $\mathbf{i}$ and neither does $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

## Relationship between different PBW bases

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

## Relationship between different PBW bases

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

#### Proof.

• You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.

## Relationship between different PBW bases

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

- You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.
- Two terms braid moves don't change the basis at all.

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

- You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.
- Two terms braid moves don't change the basis at all.
- Three term braid moves change three consecutive factors by

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

- You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.
- Two terms braid moves don't change the basis at all.
- Three term braid moves change three consecutive factors by

• 
$$F^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\beta_k} = F^{\mathbf{i}}_{\beta_{k+2}}$$

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

- You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.
- Two terms braid moves don't change the basis at all.
- Three term braid moves change three consecutive factors by

• 
$$F_{\beta_k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{\beta_{k+2}}^{\mathbf{i}}$$
  
•  $F_{\beta_{k+2}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{\beta_k}^{\mathbf{i}}$ 

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

- You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.
- Two terms braid moves don't change the basis at all.
- Three term braid moves change three consecutive factors by

• 
$$F_{\beta_k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{\beta_{k+2}}^{\mathbf{i}}$$
  
•  $F_{\beta_{k+2}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{\beta_k}^{\mathbf{i}}$   
•  $F_{\beta_{k+1}}^{\mathbf{i}} = F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}}F_k^{\mathbf{i}} - qF_k^{\mathbf{i}}F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $F_{\beta_{k+1}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}'}F_k^{\mathbf{i}'} - qF_k^{\mathbf{i}'}F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}}$ .

### Theorem (Lusztig)

Let  $\mathcal{L} = span_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{i}$  and neither does  $B_{\mathbf{i}} + q\mathcal{L}$ .

### Proof.

- You can get between any two reduced expressions by a series of braid moves.
- Two terms braid moves don't change the basis at all.
- Three term braid moves change three consecutive factors by

• 
$$F_{\beta_k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{\beta_{k+2}}^{\mathbf{i}}$$
  
•  $F_{\beta_{k+2}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{\beta_k}^{\mathbf{i}}$   
•  $F_{\beta_{k+1}}^{\mathbf{i}} = F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}}F_k^{\mathbf{i}} - qF_k^{\mathbf{i}}F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $F_{\beta_{k+1}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}'}F_k^{\mathbf{i}'} - qF_k^{\mathbf{i}'}F_{k+2}^{\mathbf{i}}$ .

• Can do some (pretty annoying but "elementary") linear algebra to show  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \{ F_{\mathbf{i}\beta_{k}}^{(a)} F_{\mathbf{i}\beta_{k+1}}^{(b)} F_{\mathbf{i}\beta_{k+2}}^{(c)} \} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \{ F_{\mathbf{i}'\beta_{k}}^{(a)} F_{\mathbf{i}'\beta_{k+1}}^{(b)} F_{\mathbf{i}'\beta_{k+2}}^{(c)} \}. \quad \Box$ 

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(2)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1})^{(3)} = (F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1})^{(4)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(2)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1})^{(3)} = (F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1})^{(4)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(2)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1})^{(3)} = (F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1})^{(4)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$

# Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

$$i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$$
 and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(2)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1})^{(3)} = (F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1})^{(4)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$
### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

• One finds that, e.g.,  

$$(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(2)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_1}_{\alpha_1})^{(3)} = (F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1})^{(4)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})^{(1)}(F^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\alpha_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$$

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

 $i_1 := s_1 s_2 s_1$  and  $i_2 := s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

### Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_{2}}^{\mathbf{i}_{1}})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}^{\mathbf{i}_{1}})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_{1}}^{\mathbf{i}_{1}})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_{1}}^{\mathbf{i}_{2}})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}^{\mathbf{i}_{2}})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_{2}}^{\mathbf{i}_{2}})^{(2)}$  $\mod q$ .
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

# Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

# Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

## Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.

# Relating the two bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$



• In this case there are exactly two reduced expressions for  $w_0$ :

- One finds that, e.g.,  $(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(2)}(F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_1})^{(3)} = (F_{\alpha_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(4)}(F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_2}^{\mathbf{i}_2})^{(2)} \mod q.$
- Can easily classify the polygons that show up this way.
- *F*<sub>1</sub> acts simply on one monomial, and in a more complicated way on the other...but still in a well defined way mod *q*.
- The complicated action is captured by a bracketing rule.
- Gives an alternate definition of  $B(\infty)$  and Kashiwara's crystal operators.

## Calculating crystal operators using braid moves: sl<sub>4</sub>

 $s_1$   $s_2$   $s_3$   $s_1$   $s_2$   $s_1$ 

$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	\$3	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$

<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g. $F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$

	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$						

	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$

	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$

	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3$ :	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(2)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$

	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(2)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(4)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$

	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(2)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(4)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(2)}$	$F_{23}^{(4)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
# Calculating crystal operators using braid moves: sl<sub>4</sub>

	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	$s_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	$s_1$
	$\alpha_1$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_2$	$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$	$\alpha_3$
e.g.	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(3)}$	$F_{23}^{(3)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$
$f_3:$	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(3)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(1)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{3}^{(2)}$	$F_1^{(2)}$	$F_{312}^{(3)}$	$F_{12}^{(1)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{3}^{(4)}$	$F_{32}^{(2)}$	$F_{2}^{(4)}$
	$F_{1}^{(2)}$	$F_{12}^{(3)}$	$F_{123}^{(1)}$	$F_{2}^{(2)}$	$F_{23}^{(4)}$	$F_{3}^{(2)}$

$$F_1^{(2)}$$
  $F_{12}^{(3)}$   $F_{123}^{(1)}$   $F_2^{(3)}$   $F_{23}^{(3)}$   $F_3^{(2)}$ 

$$F_{1}^{(2)} F_{12}^{(3)} F_{123}^{(1)} F_{2}^{(3)} F_{23}^{(3)} F_{3}^{(2)}$$

$$1 1 \frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{1} 2 2 2 \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} 3 3$$





# Calculating braid moves using segments/Kostant partitions

$$F_{1}^{(2)} F_{12}^{(3)} F_{123}^{(1)} F_{2}^{(3)} F_{23}^{(3)} F_{3}^{(2)}$$

$$1 \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2}$$

• Gives a bracketing rule as long as each  $\alpha_i$  can be moved to the front with all 3-term moves involving  $\alpha_i$ .

J

# Calculating braid moves using segments/Kostant partitions

$$F_{1}^{(2)} F_{12}^{(3)} F_{123}^{(1)} F_{2}^{(3)} F_{23}^{(3)} F_{3}^{(2)}$$

$$1 \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2}$$

• Gives a bracketing rule as long as each  $\alpha_i$  can be moved to the front with all 3-term moves involving  $\alpha_i$ . There is a reduced expression with this property in all types except  $E_8$ 

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

#### Calculating braid moves using segments/Kostant partitions

$$F_{1}^{(2)} \quad F_{12}^{(3)} \quad F_{123}^{(1)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{23}^{(3)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)}$$

$$1 \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{2}{1} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2}$$

• Gives a bracketing rule as long as each  $\alpha_i$  can be moved to the front with all 3-term moves involving  $\alpha_i$ . There is a reduced expression with this property in all types except  $E_8$  (and  $F_4$ ).

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

PBW crystal

#### Calculating crystal operators using braid moves: type $D_4$

 $s_1$   $s_2$   $s_3$   $s_4$   $s_2$   $s_1$   $s_2$   $s_3$   $s_4$   $s_2$   $s_3$   $s_4$ 

# Calculating crystal operators using braid moves: type $D_4$

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

$$F_{1}^{(2)} \quad F_{2}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(4)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)}$$

$$F_{1}^{(2)} \quad F_{2}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(4)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34$$

.f3

$$F_{1}^{(2)} \quad F_{2}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(4)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad$$

.f3

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)

$$F_{1}^{(2)} \quad F_{2}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(4)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{3$$

$$F_{1}^{(2)} \quad F_{2}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(4)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{2}^{(3)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{3}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(1)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{4}^{(2)} \quad F_{34}^{(2)} \quad F_{3$$

Peter Tingley (Loyola Chicago)
Nice reduced expressions and bracketing crystal rules

# Some citation information

• The construction of crystals using PBW bases is all due to Lusztig.

- The construction of crystals using PBW bases is all due to Lusztig.
- The relation between the PBW basis for the nice reduced expression and multi-segments in type A is basically known, but hard to find; in the form, it recently appeared in "Young Tableaux, Multisegments, and PBW Bases" with John Claxton (Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire), but with a different proof.

- The construction of crystals using PBW bases is all due to Lusztig.
- The relation between the PBW basis for the nice reduced expression and multi-segments in type A is basically known, but hard to find; in the form, it recently appeared in "Young Tableaux, Multisegments, and PBW Bases" with John Claxton (Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire), but with a different proof.
- So I think the combinatorial crystal rule in type  $D_n$  is new; it will show up on the arxiv soon in a paper with Ben Salisbury and Adam Schultze. We can also explain how it relates to other combinatorics in that type, but the relationship is not obvious.

- The construction of crystals using PBW bases is all due to Lusztig.
- The relation between the PBW basis for the nice reduced expression and multi-segments in type A is basically known, but hard to find; in the form, it recently appeared in "Young Tableaux, Multisegments, and PBW Bases" with John Claxton (Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire), but with a different proof.
- So I think the combinatorial crystal rule in type  $D_n$  is new; it will show up on the arxiv soon in a paper with Ben Salisbury and Adam Schultze. We can also explain how it relates to other combinatorics in that type, but the relationship is not obvious.
- The reduced expressions we need were all given by Littelmann in his paper "Cones, crystals and patterns," for kind of similar reasons. But his definition looks a little stronger than what we need, so we don't currently have a proof that our construction probably doesn't work in  $E_8$ .

# Thanks!!!!!!