## PROBLEM SET 14: FERMAT'S LTTTLE THEOREM

1. Review: Prove that $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{kb}, \mathrm{b})$ for any integer, k .
2. Review: Let a and b be integers, not both 0 . Prove that there exist integers x and y such that $\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{by}=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$.
3. Find integers $x$ and $y$ such that $a x+b y=\operatorname{gcd}(7777,3234)$.
4. Let a and b be integers, not both 0 . Prove that $\{\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{bx} \mid \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{by}>0\}=\{\mathrm{k} \operatorname{gcd}\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\} \mid \mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{Z}\}$
5. Does the Diophantine equation $77721 x+17078 y=4$ have a solution? If so, find one; if not, explain why.
6. Give Euclid's proof that there exist infinitely many primes.
(a) $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational
(b) $1-7 \sqrt{3}$ is irrational
(c) $\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}$ is irrational
7. State the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.
8. Prove that a and b are relatively prime if and only if there exist integers $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ for which $a x+b y=1$.
9. Euclid's lemma: Prove that if q divides ab and q is prime then either q divides $a$ or $q$ divides b .

Is this statement still true if one removes the hypothesis that q be prime?
What if one assumes that $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{q})=1$ ?
10. Prove the following division rule for modular arithmetic: If $\mathrm{ca} \equiv \mathrm{cb}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{n})=1$, then $\mathrm{a} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$.
11. More generally, prove that if $\mathrm{ca} \equiv \mathrm{cb}(\bmod \mathrm{n})$ then $\mathrm{a} \equiv \mathrm{b}(\bmod \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d})$, where $\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$.
12. State and prove Fermat's little theorem.
13. Using Fermat's theorem show that 17 is a divisor of $11^{104}+1$.
14. Using Fermat's little theorem, compute $3{ }^{31}(\bmod 7), 29^{25}(\bmod 11)$, and $128^{129}(\bmod 17)$.
15. Let $\mathrm{k}=2008^{2}+2^{2008}$. What is the units digit of $\mathrm{k}^{2}+2^{\mathrm{k}}$ ? (hint: think $\left.\bmod 10\right)$
(a) 0
(b) 2
(c) 4
(d) 6
(e) 8
16. Find $2^{20}+3^{30}+4^{40}+5^{50}+6^{60}(\bmod 7)$

## Supplement:

## (from Art of Problem Solving)

We are particularly interested in Proof 2 (Inverses).

## Statement

If $a$ is an integer, $p$ is a prime number and $a$ is not divisible by $p$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
A frequently used corollary of Fermat's Little Theorem is $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$. As you can see, it is derived by multipling both sides of the theorem by $a$. The restated form is nice because we no longer need to restrict ourselves to integers $a$ not divisible by $p$.

This theorem is a special case of Euler's Totient Theorem, which states that if $a$ and $n$ are integers, then $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$, where $\varphi(n)$ denotes Euler's totient function. In particular, $\varphi(p)=p-1$ for prime numbers $p$. In turn, this is a special case of Lagrange's Theorem.

In contest problems, Fermat's Little Theorem is often used in conjunction with the Chinese Remainder Theorem to simplify tedious calculations.

## Proof

We offer several proofs using different techniques to prove the statement $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$. If $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$, then we can cancel a factor of $a$ from both sides and retrieve the first version of the theorem.

## Proof 1 (Induction)

The most straightforward way to prove this theorem is by by applying the induction principle. We fix $p$ as a prime number. The base case, $1^{p} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, is obviously true. Suppose the statement $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$ is true. Then, by the binomial theorem,

$$
(a+1)^{p}=a^{p}+\binom{p}{1} a^{p-1}+\binom{p}{2} a^{p-2}+\cdots+\binom{p}{p-1} a+1 .
$$

Note that $p$ divides into any binomial coefficient of the form $\binom{p}{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. This follows by the definition of the binomial coefficient as $\binom{p}{k}=\frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}$; since $p$ is prime, then $p$ divides the numerator, but not the denominator.
Taken $\bmod p$, all of the middle terms disappear, and we end up with $(a+1)^{p} \equiv a^{p}+1(\bmod p)$. Since we also know that $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$, then $(a+1)^{p} \equiv a+1(\bmod p)$, as desired.

## Proof 2 (Inverses)

Let $S=\{1,2,3, \cdots, p-1\}$. Then, we claim that the set $a \cdot S$, consisting of the product of the elements of $S$ with $a$, taken modulo $p$, is simply a permutation of $S$. In other words,

$$
S \equiv\{1 a, 2 a, \cdots,(p-1) a\} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Clearly none of the $i a$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ are divisible by $p$, so it suffices to show that all of the elements in $a \cdot S$ are distinct. Suppose that $a i \equiv a j(\bmod p)$ for $i \neq j$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$, by the cancellation rule, that reduces to $i \equiv j(\bmod p)$, which is a contradiction.
Thus, $\bmod p$, we have that the product of the elements of $S$ is

$$
1 a \cdot 2 a \cdots(p-1) a \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots(p-1) \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Cancelling the factors $1,2,3, \ldots, p-1$ from both sides, we are left with the statement $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
A similar version can be used to prove Euler's Totient Theorem, if we let $S=\{$ natural numbers relatively prime to and less than $n\}$.

## Proof 3 (Combinatorics)



$$
\text { An illustration of the case } p=3, a=2 \text {. }
$$

Consider a necklace with $p$ beads, each bead of which can be colored in $a$ different ways. There are $a^{p}$ ways to pick the colors of the beads. $a$ of these are necklaces that consists of beads of the same color. Of the remaining necklaces, for each necklace, there are exactly $p-1$ more necklaces that are rotationally equivalent to this necklace. It follows that $a^{p}-a$ must be divisible by $p$. Written in another way, $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$.

## Proof 4 (Geometry)



$$
\text { For } p=2,3 \text { and } a=6,4 \text {, respectively. }
$$

We imbed a hypercube of side length $a$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ (the $p$-th dimensional Euclidean space), such that the vertices of the hypercube are at $( \pm a / 2, \pm a / 2, \ldots, \pm a / 2)$. A hypercube is essentially a cube, generalized to higher dimensions. This hypercube consists of $a^{p}$ separate unit hypercubes, with centers consisting of the points

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(a+\frac{1}{2}-x_{1}, a+\frac{1}{2}-x_{2}, \ldots, a+\frac{1}{2}-x_{p}\right)
$$

where each $x_{i}$ is an integer from 1 to $a$. Besides the $a$ centers of the unit hypercubes in the main diagonal (from $(-a / 2,-a / 2, \ldots,-a / 2)$ to $(a / 2, a / 2, \ldots, a / 2)$ ), the transformation carrying

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto P\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}\right)
$$

maps one unit hypercube to a distinct hypercube. Much like the combinatorial proof, this splits the non-main diagonal unit hypercubes into groups of size $p$, from which it follows that $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$. Thus, we have another way to visualize the above combinatorial proof, by imagining the described transformation to be, in a sense, a rotation about the main diagonal of the hypercube.


