

1. Prove that an *acyclic* connected graph with at least two vertices must have a leaf.

Solution: Here is one of several ways of proving this result.

Assume that G is an acyclic connected graph with N vertices, where $N > 1$.

Choose any vertex; call it V_1 . Then choose an edge, V_1V_2 , where V_2 is a vertex not visited already. (This is possible since $N > 1$).

Next choose an edge, V_2V_3 , where V_3 has not been visited earlier. If there is no such vertex, then the degree of V_2 is 1.

Next, choose an edge, V_3V_4 , where V_4 has not been visited earlier. If there is no such vertex, then either the degree of V_3 is 1 or we have found a cycle. The latter is not possible since G is acyclic.

We continue to choose vertices in this manner (one says, “inductively”), until we find a vertex, V_K , of degree 1. Since there are but N vertices, this process must halt before $K = N+1$. When it halts, we will have found a vertex of degree 1 (or a cycle, which is not possible).

2. How many trailing zeroes are there in the expansion of $100!$ (A computer solution will earn no credit.) For example, $10!$ has two trailing zeroes. (Extra credit: Same question for $1000!$)

Solution: Since the only way that a trailing 0 can appear is to have factors 2 and 5. Clearly the number of factors 2 is at least as great as the number of 5s. So we must compute the highest power of 5 that is a factor of $100!$

This amounts to considering the multiples of 5 that are no bigger than 100, namely: 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, ..., 100.

Now each member of this sequence, with the exception of 25, 50, 75 and 100, (which are divisible by 5^2) contributes one factor of 5. Also each of 25, 50, 75 and 100 contributes two factors of five.

Hence the number of trailing zeros of $100!$ is $20 + 4 = 24$.

3. Prove by mathematical induction that $n! < n^n$ for $n \geq 2$.

Solution: For $n \geq 2$ let \mathcal{H}_n be the statement $n! < n^n$.

Base case: Consider \mathcal{H}_2 : $2! < 2^2$. $LHS = 2! = 2$; $RHS = 2^2 = 4$. Since $LHS < RHS$, the base case, \mathcal{H}_2 , is true.

Inductive step: Let k be a **given** integer that is greater than or equal to 2. We assume that \mathcal{H}_k is true, namely: $k! < k^k$.

Since $k + 1 > 0$: $(k+1)! < (k+1)k^k < (k+1)(k+1)^k = (k+1)^{k+1}$ and so \mathcal{H}_{k+1} is true.

4. Let X, Y, Z be non-empty sets. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be injective and let $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be injective. Must it follow that the composition of the two functions $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ be injective? Recall the definition of composition, viz. $\forall x \in X$ $g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$.

Give proof or counterexample.

Solution: Yes, it must follow that $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is injective.

Proof: Assume that there exist $a, b \in X$ such that $g \circ f(a) = g \circ f(b)$.

That is, $g(f(a)) = g(f(b))$. Now since g is injective $f(a) = f(b)$.

Since f is injective, it follows that $a = b$.

Thus $g \circ f(x)$ is injective.

5. Is the converse to problem # 4 true? *Give proof or counterexample.*
(Begin by stating the converse!)

Solution:

The converse states:

Let X, Y, Z be non-empty sets. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$.

Assume that $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is injective. Then it follows that both f and g are injective.

*The converse is false; here is a **counterexample**:*

Let $X = \{1, 2\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Z = \{1, 2\}$.

Define $f: X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: $f(1) = 1$ and $f(2) = 2$.

Define $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ as follows: $g(1) = 1$, $g(2) = 2$ and $g(3) = 1$.

Notice that g is not injective since $g(1) = g(3)$.

However $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is injective since:

$$g \circ f(1) = 1$$

$$g \circ f(2) = 2$$

Induction makes you feel guilty for getting something out of nothing, and it is artificial, but it is one of the greatest ideas of civilization.

- Herbert Wilf