

SOLUTIONS: TEST 3-A (IN CLASS)

Numbers are the highest degree of knowledge. It is knowledge itself. - Plato

Part I [7 pts each]



1. Carefully state the *Well-Ordering Principle*.

The **well-ordering principle** states that every non-empty set of positive integers contains a least element.

2. Carefully state the *Euclidean Division Algorithm*.

Given two integers a and b, with $b \neq 0$, there exist unique integers q and r such that a = bq + r and $0 \le r < |b|$.

3. Define gcd(a, b).

The **greatest common divisor** of two integers (not both zero) is the largest integer which divides both of them.

Equivalently, if a and b are not both zero, d = gcd(a, b) if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) d/s and d/b
- (2) If e|a and e|b then $|e| \le d$
- 4. State (the conclusion of) Euclid's extended gcd algorithm.

The conclusion of the extended Euclidean algorithm is:

If a and b are integers, not both 0, then there exist integers x and y such that ax + by = gcd(a, b).

5. Carefully state *Fermat's little theorem*.

If p is a prime number, then for any integer a, $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

If a is not divisible by p, **Fermat's little theorem** is equivalent to the statement that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

6. State *Euclid's theorem* on prime numbers.

Part II [10 pts each]

1. Explain why every integer can be expressed in the form 5n, 5n+1, 5n+2, 5n+3 or 5n+4.

It follows from Euclid's division algorithm that every integer can be represented as 5q + r, where $0 \le r < 4$.

2. Using the Euclidean algorithm, find gcd(306, 657)

gcd(306, 657) = gcd(657, 306) = gcd(45, 306) = gcd(306, 45) = gcd(36, 45) = gcd(45, 36) = gcd(9, 36) = gcd(36, 9) = gcd(0, 9) = gcd(9, 0) =**9**

3. Using the extended Euclidian algorithm, find integers x and y such that 56x + 22y = gcd(56, 22).

First we use the Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(56, 22)*:*

$$56 = 22 (2) + 12$$

$$22 = 12(1) + 10$$

$$12 = 10(1) + 2$$

$$10 = 2(5) + 0$$

So the gcd is 2.

Now, using back-substitution:

$$2 = 12 - 10(1)$$

= 12 - (22 - 12) = 2(12) - 22
= 2(56 - 22(2)) - 22 = 2(56) - 5 (22)

We conclude that an integer solution of 56x + 22y = gcd(56, 22)is x = 2 and y = -5.

4. Prove that gcd(a, b - a) = gcd(a, b). Let d = gcd(a, b - a) and $d^* = gcd(a, b)$. Now d|a and d|(b-a) by definition of gcd. So $d| \{a + (b-a)\} = b$ Thus d|a and d|b. So, by definition of gcd, $|d| \le |d^*|$

Next, d^*/a and d^*/b . So, $d^*/((-1)a + b) \implies d^*/b - a$. Thus $|d^*| \le |d|$. So we arrive at $|d^*| = |d|$. Of course, d and d* are each positive, so $d^* = d$.

5. Using Fermat's little theorem find $5^{101} \pmod{31}$

Since 31 is a prime and not a factor of 5, Fermat's little theorem states $5^{30} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$. And so $5^{90} \equiv (5^{30})^3 \equiv 1^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$. Next $5^{101} \equiv 5^{90} 5^{11} \equiv 5^{11} \pmod{31}$. Note that $5^3 \equiv 125 \equiv 4(31) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$. Finally, $5^{101} \equiv 5^{11} \equiv (5^3)^3 5^2 \equiv 1^3 25 \equiv 25 \pmod{31}$.

6. The converse to Fermat's little theorem is false. Namely:

If $am^{-1} \equiv 1 \mod m$, it need not follow that *m* is prime.

(a) [7 pts] Find 2⁵⁶⁰ mod 561

First, note that $2^{10} \equiv 463 \pmod{561}$ *.*

So $2^{20} = (2^{10})^2 \equiv 463^2 \equiv 67 \pmod{561}$

So $2^{40} = (2^{20})^2 \equiv 67^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$

Finally, $2^{560} = (2^{40})^{14} \equiv 1^{14} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$

- (b) [3 pts] Show that 561 is not a prime number. (Such numbers are called *pseudo-primes*.)
 Since 3/561, 561 cannot be prime.
- 7. Prove that if a|b and c|d then ac|bd. Since $a/b \exists m \in Z$ such that b = am.

Since $c/d \exists n \in Z$ such that d = cn. Thus bd = (am) (cn) = (ac) (mn). Of course $mn \in Z$. Hence ac/bd.

8. Prove that $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

Suppose, contrary to fact, that that $\sqrt{3}$ is rational. Then $\exists a, b \in Z, b \neq 0$, such that $\sqrt{3} = a/b$. We may assume that a and b are relatively prime. (If not, divide each of a and b by gcd(a, b).) So $a^2 = 3b^2$. Hence a^2 is a multiple of 3. This implies that a is a multiple of 3. (Examine the three cases: a = 3p, a = 3p+1, a = 3p+2.) Hence $\exists q \in Z$ such that a = 3q. So $3b^2 = a^2 = (3q)^2 = 9q^2$. From this, we obtain: $b^2 = 3q^2$. As argued earlier, this implies that b is a multiple of 3. This is clearly a contradiction, since if a and b were divisible by 3, then a and b would not be relatively prime, as we assumed above.

9. Prove that the *square of any integer* is either of the form 3k or 3k+1.

Using the division algorithm, every integer, n, may be expressed as

n = 3z + r where r = 0, 1, 2.

Examining each of these three cases:

$$(3z)^{2} = 3(3z^{2})$$

$$(3z + 1)^{2} = 9z^{2} + 6z + 1 = 3(3z^{2} + 2z) + 1$$

$$(3z + 2)^{2} = 9z^{2} + 12z + 4 = 3(3z^{2} + 4z + 1) + 1$$

Thu, s for each of the three cases, n^2 is either of the form 3k or 3k+1.

EXTRA CREDIT:

1. [10 pts] Prove by induction: For $n \in N$, if $a^n | b^n$ then a | b.

For each $n \in N$, let H_n represent the statement: if a^n/b^n then a/b. **Base Case:** H_1 is true since if a^1/b^1 then clearly a/b. **Inductive step:** Let $n \ge 0$ be given. Assume that a^{n+1}/b^{n+1} . Let d = gcd(a, b). Let A = a/d and B = b/d. We have proven earlier that A and B are relatively prime. Now, a^{n+1}/b^{n+1} implies that A^{n+1}/B^{n+1} . It is easy to show that A^{n+1} and B^{n+1} are relatively prime. Rewriting: AA^n / BB^n Then, by Euclid's lemma, since A and B are relatively prime, A^n/B or A^n / B^n . If A^n / B^n , then we can use the inductive hypothesis to conclude that A/B and hence a/b. If A^n / B , then of course A/B.

2. [10 pts] Prove that $(3n)!/(3!)^n$ is an integer for all $n \ge 0$. (Recall that 0! = 1)

For each $n \ge 0$, let H_n represent the statement: if a^n/b^n then a/b. **Base case:** n = 0: $(3(0))!/(3!)^0 == 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Inductive step: Assume that $n \ge 0$ is given and that H_n is true.

Now $(3(n+1))!/(3!)^{n+1} = (3n+3)!/(3!)^{n+1} = \left(\frac{(3n)!}{(3!)^n}\right) \left(\frac{(3n+1)(3n+2)(3n+3)}{3!}\right) =$

$$\left(\frac{(3n)!}{(3!)^n}\right)(n+1)\frac{(3n+1)(3n+2)}{2}$$

Now, by inductive hypothesis, $\left(\frac{(3n)!}{(3!)^n}\right)$ is an integer. Furthermore, the product of two consecutive integers is even. Thus (3n+1)(3n+2) is divisible by 2. So we have shown that H_{n+1} is true.