

Solutions to the following:

Mattuck

p. 32 / exercises 2.6.3, 2.6.4 (b)

p. 32 / problem 2.1

pg. 46 / exercises 3.1.1 (a) and 3.2.3

pg. 48 / problems 3.1, 3.2

### Exercise 2.6.3

Prove that if  $\epsilon > 0$  is given, then  $\frac{n}{n+2} \approx 1$ , for  $n \gg 1$ .

**Solution:** Choose  $N = \frac{2}{\epsilon}$ .

Then, for  $n > N$ ,  $\left| \frac{n}{n+2} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{2}{n+2} \right| < \frac{2}{n} < \frac{2}{N} < \epsilon$

### Exercise 2.6.4 (b)

Prove that  $\{a_n\}$  is decreasing for  $n \gg 1$ , if  $a_6 = 1$  and

$$(b) \quad a_{n+1} = \frac{n^2+15}{(n+1)(n+2)} a_n$$

**Solution:**

$$(b) \quad \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{n^2 + 15}{(n+1)(n+2)} < \frac{n^2 + 15}{(n+1)n} = \frac{1 + \frac{15}{n}}{1 + n} < 1 \text{ when } n > 6$$

### Problem 2.1

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence. We construct from it another sequence  $\{b_n\}$  as follows:

$$b_n = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$$

(a) Prove that if  $\{a_n\}$  is increasing, then  $\{b_n\}$  is also increasing.

(b) Prove that if  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded above, then  $\{b_n\}$  is also bounded above.

**Solution:**

**Part (a):** Assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is increasing. Then  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Now  $b_{n+1} - b_n =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} = \\ & \frac{n(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}) - (n+1)(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)}{n(n+1)} = \\ & \frac{na_{n+1} - (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)}{n(n+1)} = \\ & \frac{(a_{n+1} - a_1) + (a_{n+1} - a_2) + \dots + (a_{n+1} - a_n)}{n(n+1)} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{b_n\}$  is increasing.

**Part (b):** Assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded above. Then, by definition, there exists  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $a_n \leq c$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \leq c + c + \dots + c = nc$$

and hence, for all  $n \geq 1$ :

$$b_n = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \leq \frac{nc}{n} = c$$

Thus  $\{b_n\}$  is bounded above.

**Exercise 3.1.1 (a)**

Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin n - \cos n}{n} = 0$  directly from the definition of limit.

**Solution:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

Then, invoking the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \frac{\sin n - \cos n}{n} - 0 \right| = \frac{1}{n} |\sin n - \cos n| \leq \frac{1}{n} (|\sin n| + |\cos n|) \leq \frac{2}{n} < \varepsilon \text{ when } n > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$$

---

### Exercise 3.2.3 (a)

Let  $a_n = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n}$ . Prove that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

(a) Prove that  $\{a_n\}$  converges.

#### Solution:

*Part (a): The sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded above by 1 since:*

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \leq \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{n}{n+1} < 1$$

Next, observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} - a_n &= \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{n+2} + \frac{1}{n+3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \right) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+2} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1/2}{n+1} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1/2}{n+1} = \\ &= \frac{1/2}{(2n+1)(n+1)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{a_n\}$  is strictly increasing.

Now, since  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded above and increasing, we invoke the Completeness Theorem to conclude that  $\{a_n\}$  converges.

---

### Problem 3.1:

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence. As before, let  $\{b_n\}$  be defined as follows:

$$b_n = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$$

(a) Prove that if  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ .

(b) Deduce from part (a) in a few lines that if  $a_n \rightarrow L$ , then  $b_n \rightarrow L$ .

## Solution:

*Part (a):* Assume that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $n^* \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , such that  $|a_n - 0| < \varepsilon$  when  $n \geq n^*$ .

Choose  $m^* \in \mathbf{N}$  such

$$m^* \geq \left\lceil \frac{|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n^*-1}|}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil$$

Next, let  $r^* = \max\{n^*, m^*\}$ .

Thus, invoking the  $K\varepsilon$ -principle,  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ .

*Part (b):* Assume that  $a_n \rightarrow L$ .

Define  $d_n = a_n - L$ . We first show that  $d_n \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then  $a_n$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $L$  for large  $n$ . Using the additivity property,  $d_n = a_n - L$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $L - L = 0$ . So  $d_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Using part (a), we obtain  $y_n \rightarrow 0$ , where

$$y_n = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{n}$$

Since

$$\frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{n} = \frac{(a_1 - L) + (a_2 - L) + \dots + (a_n - L)}{n} = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - L = b_n - L$$

we obtain

$$b_n - L = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - L \rightarrow 0$$

Using the “ $\varepsilon$ -close” argument given above, we obtain:

$$b_n \rightarrow L$$

---

---

**Problem 3.2:** To prove  $a^n$  was large if  $a > 1$ , we used “Bernoulli’s inequality.”

$$(1 + h)^n \geq 1 + nh, \quad \text{if } h \geq 0.$$

We deduced it from the binomial theorem. This inequality is actually valid for other values of  $h$  however. A sketch of the proof starts:

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + h)^2 &= 1 + 2h + h^2 \geq 1 + 2h, && \text{since } h^2 \geq 0 \text{ for all } h; \\(1 + h)^3 &= (1 + h)^2(1 + h) \geq (1 + 2h)(1 + h), && \text{by the previous case,} \\ &= 1 + 3h + 2h^2, \\ &\geq 1 + 3h.\end{aligned}$$

(a) Show in the same way that the truth of the inequality for the case  $n$  implies its truth for the case  $n + 1$ . (This proves the inequality for all  $n$  by mathematical induction, since it is trivially true for  $n = 1$ .)

**Solution:** Assume that  $h \geq 0$  and that  $n \geq 0$ .

Let  $P(n)$  be the statement that  $(1 + h)^n \geq 1 + nh$

Base case:  $n = 0$

$$\text{LHS} = (1 + h)^0 = 1$$

$$\text{RHS} = 1 + 0h = 1$$

This establishes the base case.

Inductive step: Assume that  $(1 + h)^n \geq 1 + nh$ .

$$\text{Then } (1 + h)^{n+1} = (1 + h)(1 + h)^n \geq (1 + h)(1 + nh) = 1 + nh + nh^2 \geq$$

$$1 + h + nh = 1 + (n + 1)h$$

This proves  $P(n+1)$  which completes induction.

(b) For what  $h$  is the inequality valid? (Try it when  $h = -3$ ,  $n = 5$ .)  
Reconcile this with part (a).

**Solution:**

If  $-1 \leq h \leq 0$ , then the induction argument above remains valid.

The key observation is that,  $1 + h \geq 0$  when  $-1 \leq h \leq 0$ . And so then the inequality

$$(1 + h)(1 + h)^n \geq (1 + h)(1 + nh) \text{ is still valid because } (1 + h)^n \geq 1 + nh \geq 0.$$