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Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory)

Suppose $L$ is a finite, Galois field extension of $k$. The intermediate subfields between $L$ and $k$ are in one-to-one correspondence with subgroups of the finite group $G = \text{Aut}(L/k)$.

Corollary (Fundamental Corollary of Galois Theory)

A general degree-five polynomial is not solvable by radicals.
Corollary (Fundamental Corollary of Galois Theory)

A general degree-five polynomial is not solvable by radicals.

Proof.

An equation over $k$ is solvable by radicals if $L$, the field containing its solutions, can be reached by adjoining radicals one at a time:

$$k \subset K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_n \subset L.$$ 

This is equivalent to the algebraic condition that $G = \text{Aut}(L/k)$ is solvable, i.e., has a composition series in which all quotients $G_i/G_{i-1}$ are cyclic groups of prime order:

$$1 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_n \subset G.$$ 

But $S_5$ is not solvable.
Operator algebra is the study of operators on (usually infinite dimensional) vector spaces. Such vector spaces are unwieldly to say the least. We impose closure/completeness conditions on the vector spaces (Hilbert spaces) and also on the kinds of operators we look at (bounded).

**Definition**

A **von Neumann algebra** is a unital subalgebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space which is closed in a given topology. A **factor** $A$ is a highly non-commutative von Neumann algebra: $A \cap A' = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$.

A **subfactor** is a pair of factors, one contained in the other: $1 \in A \subset B$. 

**Emily Peters**

**Small-index subfactors**
Summary: a subfactor is a pair $A \subset B$, where $A$ and $B$ are (usually) infinite algebras, and both are ‘as non-commutative as possible.’

**Question**

*Emily, why would you study those things?*

**Answer**

Factors have no 2-sided ideals. This means we can think of them as ‘non-commutative fields.’ A subfactor, therefore, is a non-commutative analog of a field extension.

**Example**

Given a factor $R$ and a finite group $G$ which acts outerly on $R$, their semidirect product is again a factor:

$$R \rtimes G = \{ (r, g) \mid (r, g) \cdot (s, h) = (r \cdot g(s), gh) \}.$$  

Then $R \simeq R \rtimes 1 \subset R \rtimes G$ is a subfactor.
Summary: a subfactor is a pair $A \subset B$, where $A$ and $B$ are (usually) infinite algebras, and both are ‘as non-commutative as possible.’
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Answer

Factors have no 2-sided ideals. This means we can think of them as ‘non-commutative fields.’ A subfactor, therefore, is a non-commutative analog of a field extension.

Example

Given a factor $R$ and a finite group $G$ which acts outerly on $R$, their semidirect product is again a factor:

$$R \rtimes G = \{ (r, g) \mid (r, g) \cdot (s, h) = (r \cdot g(s), gh) \}.$$

Then $R \simeq R \rtimes 1 \subset R \rtimes G$ is a subfactor.
In the galois theory analogy, the role of the automorphism group of a field extension is played by the ‘standard invariant’ of a subfactor. Subfactors have three important and related invariants:

**Definition**

- **Index** of a subfactor $A \subset B$ is a real number $[B : A]$ between 1 and $\infty$.
- **Principal graphs** of a subfactor are a pair of bipartite graphs. Vertices are irreducible bimodules over $A$ and/or $B$, and edges describe behavior under $\otimes$.
- **Standard invariant** of a subfactor is a graded algebra, with $\oplus$, $\cdot$, and $\otimes$. (Actually, it's a tensor category).

Less precisely, the index measures relative size, and the principal graph and standard invariant describe how $A$ sits inside $B$. 
Definition

The index of a subfactor $A \subset B$ is a real number $[B : A]$ between 1 and $\infty$.

The principal graphs of a subfactor are a pair of bipartite graphs. Vertices are irreducible bimodules over $A$ and/or $B$, and edges describe behavior under $\otimes$.

The standard invariant of a subfactor is a graded algebra, with $+, \cdot,$ and $\otimes$. (Actually, it’s a tensor category).

From the standard invariant, you can compute the principal graphs by looking at idempotents. From the principal graph, you can compute the index by looking at the graph norm (ie, the operator norm of the adjacency matrix).

Theorem (Jones)

The possible indices for a subfactor are

$$\{4 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)^2 | n \geq 3\} \cup [4, \infty].$$
Example: $R \rtimes H \subset R \rtimes G$

Again, let $G$ be a finite group with subgroup $H$, and act outerly on a factor $R$. Consider $A = R \rtimes H \subset R \rtimes G = B$. Then

$$[B : A] = [G : H] = \frac{|G|}{|H|}.$$

The dual principal graph of $A \subset B$ is the induction-restriction graph for irreducible representations of $H$ and $G$.

**Example ($S_3 \leq S_4$)**
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(The principal graph is an induction-restriction graph too, for $H$ and various subgroups of $H$.)
Theorem (Jones, Ocneanu, Kawahigashi, Izumi, Bion-Nadal)

The principal graph of a subfactor of index less than 4 is one of

\[ A_n = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\vdots \\
\bullet \\
\end{array}, \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text{index } 4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \]

\[ D_{2n} = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\vdots \\
\bullet \\
\end{array}, \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text{index } 4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4n-2}\right) \]

\[ E_6 = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\end{array} \quad \text{index } 4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \approx 3.73 \]

\[ E_8 = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\end{array} \quad \text{index } 4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{30}\right) \approx 3.96 \]

Theorem (Popa)

The principal graphs of a subfactor of index 4 are extended Dynkin diagrams.
In 1993 Haagerup classified possible principal graphs for subfactors with index between 4 and $3 + \sqrt{3} \approx 4.73$:

- $\star \bigstar \bigstar$,
- $(\approx 4.30, 4.37, 4.38, \ldots)$

- $\star \bigstar \bigstar$
- $(\approx 4.56)$

- $\star \bigstar \bigstar$
- $(\approx 4.62, 4.66, \ldots)$. 
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Haagerup and Asaeda & Haagerup (1999) constructed two of these possibilities; the first exotic subfactors.
In 1993 Haagerup classified possible principal graphs for subfactors with index between $4$ and $3 + \sqrt{3} \approx 4.73$:

- $(\approx 4.30, 4.37, 4.38, \ldots)$
- $(\approx 4.56)$
- $(\approx 4.62, 4.66, \ldots)$. 

Haagerup and Asaeda & Haagerup (1999) constructed two of these possibilities; the first exotic subfactors.

In 1993 Haagerup classified possible principal graphs for subfactors with index between 4 and $3 + \sqrt{3} \approx 4.73$:

$(\approx 4.30, 4.37, 4.38, \ldots)$

$(\approx 4.56)$

$(\approx 4.62, 4.66, \ldots)$. 

Haagerup and Asaeda & Haagerup (1999) constructed two of these possibilities; the first exotic subfactors.


In 2009 we (Bigelow-Morrison-Peters-Snyder) constructed the last missing case. arXiv:0909.4099
Planar algebras

**Definition**

A planar diagram has

- a finite number of inner boundary circles
- an outer boundary circle
- non-intersecting strings
- a marked point $\star$ on each boundary circle
In normal algebra (the kind with sets and functions), we have one dimension of composition:

\[ X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \]

In planar algebras, we have two dimensions of composition:
In ‘normal algebra,’ we have a set and some functions which give it structure. For example, a group is a set $G$ with a multiplication law $\circ : G \times G \rightarrow G$.

A planar algebra also has sets, and maps giving them structure; there are a lot more of them.

**Definition**

A planar algebra is

- a family of vector spaces $V_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and
- an interpretation of any planar diagram as a multi-linear map among $V_i$:

$$V_2 \times V_5 \times V_4 \rightarrow V_7$$
Definition

A planar algebra is

- a family of vector spaces $V_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and

- an interpretation of any planar diagram as a multi-linear map among $V_i$,

such that composition of multilinear maps, and composition of diagrams, agree.
**Definition**

A Temperley-Lieb diagram is a way of connecting up 2n points on the boundary of a circle, so that the connecting strings don’t cross.

For example, $TL_3$: 🌟, ⚫, 🌟, 🌟, 🌟.

**Example**

The Temperley-Lieb planar algebra:

- The vector space $TL_n$ has a basis consisting of all Temperley-Lieb diagrams on $2n$ points.
- A planar diagram acts on Temperley-Lieb diagrams by placing the TL diagrams in the input disks, joining strings, and replacing closed loops of string by $\cdot \delta$. 
Example

The Temperley-Lieb planar algebra:

- The vector space $TL_n$ has a basis consisting of all Temperley-Lieb diagrams on $2n$ points.
- A planar diagram acts on Temperley-Lieb diagrams by placing the TL diagrams in the input disks, joining strings, and replacing closed loops of string by $\delta$.
The standard invariant again

Let $X =_{A} B_{B}$ and $\bar{X} =_{B}(B^{op})_{A}$, and $\otimes = \otimes_{A}$ or $\otimes_{B}$ as needed.

**Definition**

The **standard invariant** of $A \subset B$ is the planar algebra of endomorphisms of powers of $X$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{End}(1) & \subset \text{End}(X) \subset \text{End}(X \otimes \bar{X}) \subset \text{End}(X \otimes \bar{X} \otimes X) \subset \cdots \\
\text{End}(1) & \subset \text{End}(\bar{X}) \subset \text{End}(\bar{X} \otimes X) \subset \text{End}(\bar{X} \otimes X \otimes \bar{X}) \subset \cdots
\end{align*}
\]

The planar algebra structure comes from labelling strings with $X$'s, and inserting elements of $\text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ into disks with $2n$ strings.
The standard invariant $\mathcal{P}$ of a subfactor has some extra structure:

- $\mathcal{P}_0$ is one-dimensional
- All $\mathcal{P}_k$ are finite-dimensional

Sphericality: $\xrightarrow{\bigcirc} = \xrightarrow{\bigcirc}$

Inner product: each $\mathcal{P}_k$ has an adjoint $\ast$ such that the bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle := yx^\ast$ is positive definite

Call a planar algebra with these properties a subfactor planar algebra.

**Example**

Temperley-Lieb is a subfactor planar algebra.
Theorem (Jones, Popa)

Subfactors give subfactor planar algebras, and subfactor planar algebras give subfactors.

This is part of why ‘non-commutative galois theory’ is a good way to think about subfactors.

This also gives us a new way to construct subfactors, by giving a generators-and-relations presentation of the associated planar algebra.
The Extended Haagerup planar algebra

[Bigelow, Morrison, Peters, Snyder] The extended Haagerup planar algebra is the positive definite planar algebra generated by a single $S \in V_{16}$, subject to the relations

$$S \star \star \cdot \cdot = - S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot = 0 ,$$

$$S \star \star = f(8) ,$$

$$2n + 2 f(2n+2) = i \sqrt{\frac{[n][n+2]}{[n+1][n+1]}} f(2n+2) ,$$

$$2n + 4 f(2n+4) = \frac{[2][2n+4]}{[n+1][n+1] f(2n+4)} .$$

The extended Haagerup planar algebra is a subfactor planar algebra!
[Bigelow, Morrison, Peters, Snyder] The extended Haagerup planar algebra is the positive definite planar algebra generated by a single $S \in V_{16}$, subject to the relations

$$S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot = - S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot, \quad S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot = S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot = \cdots = 0,$$

$$S \in TL_8, \quad \Rightarrow \quad S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot = \alpha, \quad S \star \star \cdot \cdot \cdot = \beta.$$

The extended Haagerup planar algebra is a subfactor planar algebra!
Proving that the extended Haagerup generators and relations give a subfactor planar algebra: getting the size right is the hard part. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the extended Haagerup planar algebra. How do we know $\mathcal{P} \neq \{0\}$? How do we know $\dim(\mathcal{P}_0) = 1$?

Showing that $\mathcal{P} \neq \{0\}$ is technical and boring: It involves finding a copy of $\mathcal{P}$ inside a bigger planar algebra which we understand better.

$\dim(\mathcal{P}_0) = 1$ means we can evaluate any closed diagram as a multiple of the empty diagram. The evaluation algorithm treats each copy of $S$ as a ‘jellyfish’ and uses the one-strand and two-strand substitute braiding relations to let each $S$ ‘swim’ to the top of the diagram.
Begin with arbitrary planar network of $S$s.
Begin with arbitrary planar network of $S$s.

Now float each generator to the surface, using the relation.
Begin with arbitrary planar network of $S$s.

Now float each generator to the surface, using the relation.
Begin with arbitrary planar network of $S$s.

Now float each generator to the surface, using the relation.
Begin with arbitrary planar network of $S$s.

Now float each generator to the surface, using the relation.
The diagram now looks like a polygon with some diagonals, labelled by the numbers of strands connecting generators.

Each such polygon has a corner, and the generator there is connected to one of its neighbours by at least 8 edges.

Use $S \in TL_8$ to reduce the number of generators, and recursively evaluate the entire diagram.
The classification is again in terms of principal graphs.

**Definition**

The vertices of a principal graph pair are (isomorphism classes of) minimal projections in the standard invariant: Recall that $X$ is the bimodule $A B_B$; the vertices are $q \in \text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ such that $q^2 = q, q^* = q$.

In the standard invariant, there are four kinds of bimodules: $A - A$, $A - B$, $B - A$ and $B - B$. The principal graph has $A - A$ and $A - B$ projections, and two projections $q$ and $q'$ are connected by an edge if $q < q' \otimes p_X$.

The dual principal graph has $B - A$ and $B - B$ projections, and two projections $q$ and $q'$ are connected by an edge if $q < q' \otimes p_X$. 
Which pairs can go together? The vertices of a principal graph are (isomorphism classes of) projections in $\text{End}(X \otimes^n)$

- The graphs must have the same graph norm;
- The graphs depths can differ by at most 1;
- The pair must satisfy an associativity test:

$$(p_X \otimes q) \otimes p_X \cong p_X \otimes (q \otimes p_X)$$

A computer can efficiently enumerate such pairs with index below some number $L$ up to a given rank or depth, obtaining a collection of allowed vines and weeds.
Definition

A vine represents an integer family of principal graphs, obtained by translating the vine.

Definition

A weed represents an infinite family, obtained by either translating or extending arbitrarily on the right.

We can hope that as we keep extending the depth, a weed will turn into a set of vines. If all the weeds disappear, the enumeration is complete. This happens in favorable cases (e.g. Haagerup’s theorem up to index $3 + \sqrt{3}$), but generally we stop with some surviving weeds, and have to rule these out ‘by hand‘.
The classification up to index 5

Theorem (Morrison-Snyder, part I, arXiv:1007.1730)

Every (finite depth) II$_1$ subfactor with index less than 5 sits inside one of 54 families of vines (see below), or 5 families of weeds:

- $\mathcal{C} = (\text{Diagram of vine})$
- $\mathcal{F} = (\text{Diagram of vine})$
- $\mathcal{B} = (\text{Diagram of vine})$
- $\mathcal{Q} = (\text{Diagram of vine})$
- $\mathcal{Q}' = (\text{Diagram of vine})$

Theorem (Morrison-Penneys-P-Snyder, part II, arXiv:1007.2240)

Using planar algebra techniques, there are no subfactors in the families $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{B}$.
Theorem (Izumi-Jones-Morrison-Snyder, part III, arXiv:1109.3190)

There are no subfactors in the families $Q$ or $Q'$.

Theorem (Calegari-Morrison-Snyder, arXiv:1004.0665)

In any family of vines, there are at most finitely many subfactors, and there is an effective bound.

Corollary (Penneys-Tener, part IV, arXiv:1010.3797)

There are only four possible principal graphs of subfactors coming from the 54 families

- ($\bullet$)
- ($\bullet$)
- ($\bullet$)
- ($\bullet$)
There are exactly ten subfactors other than Temperley-Lieb with index between 4 and 5.

- (Theorem)

- The 3311 GHJ subfactor (MR999799), with index $3 + \sqrt{3}$

- Izumi’s self-dual 2221 subfactor (MR1832764), with index $\frac{5 + \sqrt{21}}{2}$

along with the non-isomorphic duals of the first four, and the non-isomorphic complex conjugate of the last.
Theorem (Izumi)

The only subfactors with index exactly 5 are group-subgroup subfactors:

- $1 \subset \mathbb{Z}_5$;
- $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset D_{10}$;
- $F_5^\times \subset F_5 \rtimes F_5^\times$;
- $A_4 \subset A_5$;
- $S_4 \subset S_5$. 
Somewhere between index 5 and index 6, things get wild:

**Theorem (Bisch-Nicoara-Popa)**

> At index 6, there is an infinite one-parameter family of subfactors (of the same factor) having isomorphic standard invariants.

and

**Theorem (Bisch-Jones)**

\[ A_2 \ast A_3 \text{ is an infinite depth subfactor at index } 2\tau^2 \sim 5.23607. \]
Classification above index 5 looks hard, but we can still fish for examples!
Here are some graphs that we find. (A few are previously known)

- \((\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)\) 
  (from \(SU_q(3)\) at a root of unity, index \(\sim 5.04892\))

At index \(2\tau^2 \sim 5.23607\)
- \((\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)\)
- \((\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)\)
- \((\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)\)
- \((\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)\)
- \((\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot, \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)\)
(“Haagerup +1” at index $\frac{7+\sqrt{13}}{2} \sim 5.30278$)

at

$\frac{1}{2} \left(4 + \sqrt{5} + \sqrt{15 + 6\sqrt{5}}\right) \sim 5.78339$

And at index 6

and several more!
The End!