

# A Quasideterminantal Approach to Quantized Flag Varieties

Aaron Lauve

Rutgers University

*under the direction of Vladimir Retakh and Robert Lee Wilson*

Ph.D. Dissertation Defense

April 26, 2005

<http://www.rci.rutgers.edu/~lauve/thesis.html>

[lauve@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:lauve@math.rutgers.edu)

 Key Idea    Proceed with Caution    Watch Out!

# Problem Statement

*Provide a means to construct noncommutative flag varieties in a variety of noncommutative settings via the quasideterminant.*

---

# Problem Statement

*Provide a means to **construct** noncommutative flag varieties in a variety of noncommutative settings via the quasideterminant.*

---

- “It would be very important to define noncommutative flag spaces for quantum groups.” [Manin, ‘88]
- Mind the Gap!
- The case of Grassmannians has satisfactory results.

# Problem Statement

*Provide a means to construct **noncommutative flag varieties** in a variety of noncommutative settings via the quasideterminant.*

---

- Traditionally, noncommutative geometry is studied by proxy:

$$\{\text{topological spaces } X\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{rings of functions } R(X) \text{ on } X\}$$

- e.g. call a noncommutative algebra the “ring of functions” for some (phantom, noncommutative) variety.

# Problem Statement

*Provide a means to construct noncommutative flag varieties in a **variety of noncommutative settings** via the quasideterminant.*

---

- In settings of “quantum group” type. . .
- and only those settings possessing an “amenable determinant.”

# Problem Statement

*Provide a means to construct noncommutative flag varieties in a variety of noncommutative settings via the **quasideterminant**.*

---

- “A main organizing tool in noncommutative algebra.” [Gelfand-G-Retakh-Wilson, ‘02]
- In the commutative case, it looks like

$$\pm \frac{\det A}{\det A^{ij}}.$$

- Has a Cramer’s Rule.
- Is zero when matrix isn’t of full rank.
- ⋮

# Notation

- Denote the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by  $[n]$ .
- Fix an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .
  - If  $i, j \in [n]$  then  $A^{ij}$  denotes the deletion of row  $i$  and column  $j$ .
  - If  $I, J \subseteq [n]$  then  $A_{I,J}$  indicates we keep only rows  $I$  and columns  $J$ .
  - If  $I \subseteq [n]$  with  $|I| = d$ , we abbreviate  $A_{I,[d]}$  by  $A_I$ .
- Fix two sets  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ ,  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$  and  $k \in [n] \setminus I$ .
  - We write  $kI$  for  $\{k\} \cup I$ .
  - We write  $I|J$  for the *sequence*  $(i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_s)$ .
  - We write  $\ell(I|J)$  for the length of the derangement  $I|J$   
(the min. number of adjacent swaps needed to put  $I|J$  in increasing order).

# Flag Varieties

- Fix an integer  $n > 1$  and a sequence  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$  of positive integers summing to  $n$ . Fix a vector space  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  with basis  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Definition (Flags).** A flag  $\Phi$  of shape  $\gamma$  is a left coset representative of  $Fl(\gamma) := GL_n(\mathbb{C})/P_\gamma^+$  where

$$P_\gamma^+ = \begin{bmatrix} \blacksquare & & & & & \\ & \blacksquare & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & * \\ 0 & & & & \blacksquare & \\ & & & & & \blacksquare \end{bmatrix}$$

- Focus on  $\gamma = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  for simplicity. Write  $Fl(n)$  in this case.
- Another special case is  $\gamma = (d, n - d)$ . It describes the Grassmannian  $Gr(d, n)$ , the set of  $d$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V$ .
- $Fl(n)$  is made into a (projective) variety by the **Plücker embedding**:

$$\eta : A \mapsto \{ \det A_I \mid I \subseteq [n], |I| = d, 1 \leq d < n \},$$

a map into  $\mathbb{P}_\gamma := \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{1}} \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{2}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{n-1}}$ .



# Plücker Coordinates

- A point  $\pi = (p_I) \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$  belongs to  $\eta(F\ell(n))$  iff  $\pi$  satisfies:

**Definition (The Young Symmetry Relations  $(\mathcal{Y}_{L,M})_{(u)}$ ).** Given  $L, M \subseteq [n]$  with  $|L| = s + u$ ,  $|M| = t - u$  and  $s \geq t$

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \subset L \\ |\Lambda|=u}} (-1)^{\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda) + \ell(\Lambda | M)} p_{L \setminus \Lambda} p_{\Lambda \cup M} .$$

- or add alternating relations for the symbols  $p_I$  and rewrite as

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \subset L \\ |\Lambda|=u}} (-1)^{\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda)} p_{L \setminus \Lambda} p_{\Lambda | M} .$$

- In this case, call the coordinates of  $\pi$  **Plücker coordinates**.

**Theorem (Hodge-Pedoe, '47).** A homogeneous polynomial  $F$  in the homogeneous coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[f_I]$  for  $\mathbb{P}_\gamma$  is zero on  $\eta$  if and only if it is in the ideal generated by the (right-hand sides of the) relations  $(\mathcal{Y}_{L,M})_{(u)}$  (replacing  $p$ 's with  $f$ 's).

# Flag Algebra

**Definition (Flag Algebra).** The flag algebra  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ , the homogeneous coordinate ring for  $Fl(n)$ , is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with generators  $\{f_I \mid I \in [n]^d, 1 \leq d < n\}$  and relations

**Alternating** ( $\mathcal{A}_I$ ): For all  $I \in [n]^d$

$$f_I = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the } d \text{ elements of } I \text{ are not distinct.} \\ (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} f_{\sigma I} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d \text{ "straightens" the } d\text{-tuple } I. \end{cases}$$

**Young symmetry** ( $\mathcal{Y}_{L,M}$ ) $_{(u)}$ : ( $\forall L, M \subseteq [n], u > 0$ ) s.t.  $|M| + u \leq |L| - u$

$$0 = \sum_{\Lambda \subset L, |\Lambda|=u} (-1)^{-\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda)} f_{L \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda | M}.$$

**Commuting** ( $C_{J,I}$ ) ( $\forall I, J \subsetneq [n]$ )

$$f_J f_I = f_I f_J.$$

# A $q$ -Deformation (“Algebra B”)

- Fix a field  $\mathbb{K}_q$  with a distinguished invertible element  $q$ .

**Definition (Taft-Towber, ‘91).** *The quantum flag algebra  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  is the  $\mathbb{K}_q$ -algebra with generators  $\{f_I \mid I \in [n]^d, 1 \leq d < n\}$  and relations*

**Alternating** ( $\mathcal{A}_I$ ): For all  $I \in [n]^d$

$$f_I = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the } d \text{ elements of } I \text{ are not distinct.} \\ (-q)^{-\ell(\sigma)} f_{\sigma I} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d \text{ “straightens” the } d\text{-tuple } I. \end{cases}$$

**Young symmetry** ( $\mathcal{Y}_{L,M}$ ) $_{(u)}$ : ( $\forall L, M \subseteq [n], u > 0$ ) s.t.  $|M| + u \leq |L| - u$

$$0 = \sum_{\Lambda \subset L, |\Lambda|=u} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda)} f_{L \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda | M}.$$

**$q$ -Straightening** ( $S_{J,I}$ ) ( $\forall I, J \subsetneq [n]$ ) s.t.  $|J| \leq |I|$

$$f_J f_I = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq I, |\Lambda|=|J|} (-q)^{\ell(\Lambda | I \setminus \Lambda)} f_{J | I \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda}.$$

# Key Features

**Theorem (T-T, '91).** *The quantum flag algebra  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  satisfies*

- $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  reduces to  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  when  $q \rightarrow 1$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  are graded domains sharing the same basis and rate of growth.
- $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  is a comodule algebra for the quantum groups  $\mathrm{GL}_q(n)$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_q(n)$ .

⋮

View  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  as an answer for Manin (for these particular quantum groups). After this theorem, one may safely say, the quantum flag algebra of Taft and Towber is *the correct deformation* for this noncommutative setting.

# Different Approach: Noncommutative Flags

- Try to deform the flags themselves, not the algebra of functions on them.
- Hopefully arrive at the same algebra  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$ .

## Preliminary Steps are Identical

- Fix a skew-field  $D$  and a free  $D$ -module  $V = D^n$  (must choose: left or right?)
- A suitable notion of a (left/right) flag  $\Phi$  exists.
- A matrix representation  $A(\Phi)$  exists.
- $A(\Phi)$  is unique up to (left/right) multiplication by triangular matrices over  $D$ .

## Questions

1. Can we find a description of these flags  $Fl(n)$  in terms of coordinates?
2. Can we find a set of relations among the coordinates that characterize  $Fl(n)$ ?

# Quasideterminants

- Fix a matrix  $A = (a_{kl}) \in M_n(R)$  for some (noncommutative) ring  $R$ . Write  $A^{ij}$  for the submatrix built from  $A$  by deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$ .

**Definition (Gelfand-Retakh, '91).** The  $(ij)$ -quasideterminant  $|A|_{ij}$  is defined whenever  $A^{ij}$  is invertible, and in that case,

$$|A|_{ij} = \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} \color{red}{\square} & \color{red}{\square} & \color{red}{\square} \\ \color{red}{\square} & \color{red}{\square} & \color{red}{\square} \\ \color{red}{\square} & \color{red}{\square} & \color{red}{\square} \end{array} \right|_{ij}$$

# Quasideterminants

- Fix a matrix  $A = (a_{kl}) \in M_n(R)$  for some (noncommutative) ring  $R$ . Write  $A^{ij}$  for the submatrix built from  $A$  by deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$ .

**Definition (Gelfand-Retakh, '91).** The  $(ij)$ -quasideterminant  $|A|_{ij}$  is defined whenever  $A^{ij}$  is invertible, and in that case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A|_{ij} &= \left| \begin{array}{c|c|c} \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} \end{array} \right|_{ij} \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

- $2 \times 2$  Example:  $|A|_{11} = a_{11} - a_{12}a_{22}^{-1}a_{21}$ .

# Quasi-Plücker Coordinates

**Definition.** Given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  and an integer  $0 < d < n$ , the (right) **quasi-Plücker coordinates** of size  $d$  are given by

$$\left\{ r_{ij}^K(A) := |A_{iK}|_s |A_{jK}|_s^{-1} \mid i, j \in [n], K \subseteq [n] \setminus j, |K| = d - 1 \right\}$$

**Theorem (G-R, '97).** The quasi-Plücker coordinates  $r_{ij}^K(A)$  satisfy

- $r_{ij}^K(A)$  is independent of  $s$  (appearing in definition above)
- $r_{ij}^K(A \cdot g) = r_{ij}^K(A)$  for all  $g \in U_n^+$
- If  $F(A)$  is some rational function in the  $a_{ij}$  which is  $U_n^+$ -invariant, then  $F$  is a rational function in the  $r_{ij}^K(A)$ .
- **Quasi-Plücker Relations** ( $\mathcal{P}_{i,L,M}$ ): If  $L, M \subseteq [n]$ ,  $i \in [n] \setminus M$ ,  $|M| = |L| - 1$ , then:

$$1 = \sum_{j \in L} r_{ij}^{L \setminus j}(A) \cdot r_{ji}^M(A).$$



# $q$ -Generic Flags

- Fix  $D$  and the flags  $F\ell(n)$  over  $D$ .

**Definition.** A flag  $\Phi$  is called  **$q$ -generic** if there is some matrix representation  $A(\Phi)$  whose entries  $a_{ij}$  satisfy the defining relations of the quantum matrix algebra  $M_q(n)$  built on a square matrix  $T$ . Let  $X_q$  denote the set of  $q$ -generic flags of  $F\ell(n)$ .

**Definition.** There is a notion of quantum determinant  $\det_q(-)$  for  $T$  and its submatrices  $T_{J,K}$ . We call the collection  $\{\det_q A_I \mid I \subseteq [n]\}$  the (row) **quantum Plücker coordinates** of  $A$  (of  $\Phi$ ).

- Another Key Feature of  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$ :

**Theorem (T-T, '91).** The quantum flag algebra  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  is isomorphic to the subalgebra of  $M_q(n)$  generated by the quantum Plücker coordinate functions  $\{\det_q T_I \mid I \subseteq [n]\}$  for  $X_q$ .

## Quasi $\rightsquigarrow$ Quantum (“Algebra A”)

**Theorem (G-R, ‘91 and Krob-Leclerc, ‘95).** Given any  $i \in I \subseteq [n]$  and  $j \in J \subseteq [n]$ , there is a **Determinant Factorization**: putting  $B = A_{I,J}$ , we have

$$\det_q B = (-q)^{\ell(i|I) - \ell(j|J)} |B|_{ij} \det_q B^{ij},$$

and the factors commute.

- In particular:  $|A_{i \cup K}|_{is} |A_{j \cup K}|_{js}^{-1} = q^{\pm 1} (\det_q A_{i \cup K}) (\det_q A_{j \cup K})^{-1}$ .
- Try to reconstruct “algebra B” from facts about quasi-Plücker coordinate functions  $r_{ij}^K$ .

**Definition (Algebra A, First Try).** Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_q(n)$  be the  $\mathbb{K}_q$ -algebra given by generators  $\tilde{f}_{iK} \tilde{f}_{jK}^{-1}$  and quasi-Plücker relations  $(\mathcal{P}_{i,L,M})$  with  $|M| = |L| - 1$ :

$$1 = \sum_{j \in L} \tilde{f}_{iL \setminus j} \tilde{f}_L^{-1} \tilde{f}_{jM} \tilde{f}_{iM}^{-1}.$$

# The Vast Gulf

## Algebra A:

- Generators are coupled.
- No flag Young symmetry relations.
- No hint of  $q$ -straightening relations.

## Algebra B:

- Too many Young symmetry relations.

Not evidently a problem yet, but...

- No  $q$ -commuting relations..

## Step ①

$$0 = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda)} f_{L \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda | M}$$

## Step ①

$$0 = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda)} f_{L \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda | M}$$



$$0 = \sum_{j \in L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus j | j)} f_{L \setminus j} f_{j | M}$$

- 
- Found a way to express  $(\mathcal{Y}_{L,M})_{(u)}$  in terms of particular  $(\mathcal{Y}_{I,J})_{(1)}$ 's.
  - **Novelty:** A proof in the commutative case that **does not require**  $[f_I, f_J] = 0$ .

## Step ① in Detail

**Theorem.** *If  $q$  is not a root of unity in  $\mathbb{K}_q$ , then the Young symmetry relation  $(\mathcal{Y}_{L,M})_{(u)}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$  is a consequence of the Young symmetry relations  $\{(\mathcal{Y}_{L \setminus j, j|M})_{(u-1)} \mid j \in L\}$*

*Sketch of Proof:*

- Write the right-hand sides of the expressions as  $Y_{I,J;(v)}$ .
- Show

$$Y_{L,M;(u)} = \sum_{j \in L} \frac{(-q)^{2(u-1) - \ell(L^j|j)}}{1 + q^2 + \dots + q^{2(u-1)}} Y_{L^j, j|M;(u-1)}.$$

- Fix a particular  $\Lambda$  and simply compare the coefficients of  $f_{L \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda|M}$  appearing above.

## Step ① in Detail

Clearing the denominator on the right-hand side we have  
on the left

$$\sum_{k=0}^{u-1} (-q)^{2k - \ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda)},$$

and on the right

$$\sum_{j \in \Lambda} (-q)^{2(u-1) - \ell(L \setminus j | j) - \ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda \setminus j) - \ell(\Lambda \setminus j | j)}.$$

But  $\ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda) = \ell(L \setminus \Lambda | \Lambda \setminus j) + \ell(L \setminus j | j) - \ell(\Lambda \setminus j | j)$ . We are left needing

$$\sum_{k=1}^u (-q)^{2(u-1) - 2\ell(\Lambda \setminus \lambda_k | \lambda_k)} = \sum_{k=1}^u (-q)^{2(k-1)},$$

which is true because

$$(u - 1) = \ell(\Lambda \setminus \lambda_k | \lambda_k) + \ell(\lambda_k | \Lambda \setminus \lambda_k) = \ell(\Lambda \setminus \lambda_k | \lambda_k) + (k - 1).$$

□

## Step ②

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{generators : } \tilde{f}_{iK} \tilde{f}_{jK}^{-1} \\ \text{relations : } 1 = \sum_{j \in L} \tilde{f}_{iL \setminus j} \tilde{f}_L^{-1} \tilde{f}_{jM} \tilde{f}_{iM}^{-1} \quad (\text{for } |M| = |L| - 1) \end{array} \right.$$



## Step ②

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{generators : } \tilde{f}_{iK} \tilde{f}_{jK}^{-1} \\ \text{relations : } 1 = \sum_{j \in L} \tilde{f}_{iL \setminus j} \tilde{f}_L^{-1} \tilde{f}_{jM} \tilde{f}_{iM}^{-1} \quad (\text{for } |M| = |L| - 1) \end{array} \right.$$



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{gens : } \tilde{f}_I \\ \text{rels : } 0 = \sum_{j \in L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus j|j)} \tilde{f}_{L \setminus j} \tilde{f}_{j \cup M} \quad (\forall 0 \leq |M| < |L| - 1) \end{array} \right.$$

- Found a *weak  $q$ -commuting* law, allowing me to decouple the generators.
- **Novelty:** Found a *Laplace expansion* proof of  $(\mathcal{P}_{i,L,M})$  that allowed  $M$  to have any cardinality smaller than  $|L|$ .
- **Novelty:** Answers *Question 1*: the quasi-Plücker coordinates are suitable for flags, not just Grassmannians.

## Steps ① & ②

**Theorem (No Gap for Grassmannians).** *In case  $\gamma = (d, n)$ , the pre-flag algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_q(\gamma)$  is isomorphic to the Taft-Towber flag algebra  $\mathcal{F}_q(\gamma)$ .*

## Step ③

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \sum_{j \in L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus j|j) - \ell(j|M)} f_{L \setminus j} f_{j \cup M} \\ f_J f_I = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq I, |\Lambda| = |J|} (-q)^{\ell(\Lambda|I \setminus \Lambda) - \ell(J|I \setminus \Lambda)} f_{J \cup I \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda} \end{cases}$$

## Step ③

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \sum_{j \in L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus j|j) - \ell(j|M)} f_{L \setminus j} f_{j \cup M} \\ f_J f_I = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq I, |\Lambda| = |J|} (-q)^{\ell(\Lambda|I \setminus \Lambda) - \ell(J|I \setminus \Lambda)} f_{J \cup I \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda} \end{cases}$$

↓

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \sum_{j \in L} (-q)^{-\ell(L \setminus j|j) - \ell(j|M)} f_{L \setminus j} f_{j \cup M} \\ f_J f_I = q^{|J''| - |J'|} f_I f_J \quad \text{whenever } J \curvearrowright I, \text{ otherwise:} \\ f_J f_I = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq I, |\Lambda| = |J|} (-q)^{\ell(\Lambda|I \setminus \Lambda) - \ell(J|I \setminus \Lambda)} f_{J \cup I \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda} \end{cases}$$

- Found a way to rewrite  $q$ -straightening relations as  $q$ -commuting relations.
- **Novelty:** Found the “missing relations” within  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$ :  $q$ -commuting relations were known to hold within  $\mathbb{M}_q(n)$ , but were not included in relations defining  $\mathcal{F}_q(n)$ .

## Step ④

$$\tilde{f}_{jK} \tilde{f}_{iK} = q^{\pm 1} \tilde{f}_{iK} \tilde{f}_{jK}$$

## Step ④

$$\tilde{f}_{jK} \tilde{f}_{iK} = q^{\pm 1} \tilde{f}_{iK} \tilde{f}_{jK}$$



$$(\mathcal{C}_{J,I}) : \quad \tilde{f}_J \tilde{f}_I = q^{|J''| - |J'|} \tilde{f}_I \tilde{f}_J \quad \text{whenever } J \curvearrowright I$$

- 
- From quasi-Plücker relations, managed to bootstrap my way up to *strong  $q$ -commuting law*.
  - **Novelty:** Saw past “algebra B” to what was really going on (**amenable determinants**).

# The (Persistent) Canal

$$(\forall J \not\sim I) \quad f_J f_I = \sum_{\Lambda \subseteq I, |\Lambda|=|J|} (-q)^{\ell(\Lambda|I \setminus \Lambda)} f_{J|I \setminus \Lambda} f_{\Lambda}.$$

- Comes from fact that quantum determinant has row and column Laplace expansions.
- Looked briefly for such a proof for quasi-Plücker coordinates.
- Preliminary computer calculations suggest there are no more quasi-Plücker coordinate identities to be discovered.
  - *Proving This:* gives a positive answer for *Question 2*.
  - *Disproving This:* moves toward a positive answer for *Question 2* and also (likely) closes the “canal.”
- Awaiting closure, we call  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_q(\gamma)$  a “pre”-flag algebra and turn our attention to other noncommutative settings.

## Problem Statement (Refined)

- **Given:** an algebra  $\mathcal{M}(n)$  on  $n^2$  generators  $T = (t_{ij})$ ; a  $T$ -injective map into a skew field  $\mathcal{M}(n) \rightarrow D$ ; “ $q$ -generic” relations on the generators  $T$ ; and a determinant function  $\text{Det}(-)$  for  $T$  and its submatrices.
  - **Construct:** the homogeneous ring of coordinate functions, the “flag algebra,” for the  $q$ -generic points of  $F\ell(D^n, \gamma)$ .
- 

- **Solution:** if  $\text{Det}$  is an amenable determinant, then the pre-flag algebra for  $\mathcal{M}(n)$  is given by generators  $\tilde{f}_I$  and relations of the form  $(\mathcal{C}_{J,I})$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}_{L,M})_{(1)}$  whose precise form comes from the expression of  $\text{Det}$  in terms of the quasideterminant.

**Conjecture.** For any amenable setting, the “pre” prefix may be dropped in the construction of quantized Grassmannians. That is, the basis and graded-piece growth are identical to those in the classical algebra for  $Gr(d, n)$ .



# Amenable Determinants

- Fix a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}(n)$  on  $n^2$  generators  $T = (t_{ij})$  and “ $q$ -generic” relations.

**Definition.** Let  $\text{Det}$  be a map from square submatrices of  $T$  to  $\mathcal{M}(n)$ . Write  $\text{Det } T_{R,C} = [T_{R,C}]$  for short. Call  $\text{Det}$  an **amenable determinant** if there are **measuring** functions  $\mathfrak{K}_r, \mathfrak{K}_x, \mathfrak{J}_r, \mathfrak{J}_x : \mathcal{P}[n] \times \mathcal{P}[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$  associated to  $\text{Det}$  satisfying:

1.  $(\forall r, c \in [n]) \quad [T_{r,c}] = t_{rc}.$
2.  $(\forall r, r' \in R) \quad \sum_{c \in C} t_{rc} \frac{\mathfrak{J}_r(c,C)}{\mathfrak{J}_r(r',R)} [(T_{R,C})^{r'c}] = [T_{R,C}] \cdot \delta_{rr'}.$
3.  $(\forall R' \subseteq R)(\forall C' \subseteq C) \quad [T_{R,C}][T_{R',C'}] = \frac{\mathfrak{K}_r(C',C)}{\mathfrak{K}_r(R',R)} [T_{R',C'}][T_{R,C}].$

**Theorem.** If  $\mathcal{M}(n) \rightarrow D$  is a homomorphism to a ring  $D$  over which (enough) square submatrices of  $T$  may be inverted, then  $\mathcal{M}(n)$  has a pre-flag algebra.

# Amenable Examples

- The usual commutative determinant [(?)Cramer, 1750]
- The quantum determinant [Kulish-Sklyanin, '82; Manin '89]
- The two- parameter quantum determinant [Takeuchi, '90]
- The multi-parameter quantum determinant [Artin-Schelter-Tate, '91]
- The Yangian determinant [Izergin-Korepin, '81; K-S, '82]
- The super determinant (Berezinian) [Berezin, '83]
- A construction in “quantized Minkowski space” [Frenkel-Jardim, '03]
-

# Future Directions

## Continue Manin's Program:

- Build other quantized determinantal varieties using the quasideterminant.
- Build flag varieties for quantized groups not of type A.

# Future Directions

## Continue Manin's Program:

- Build other quantized determinantal varieties using the quasideterminant.
  - Build flag varieties for quantized groups not of type A.
- 

## Study the Generic Noncommutative Flag:

- Attempt to exhaust all quasi-Plücker coordinate identities (*Question 2*).
- Study the resulting “noncommutative flag algebra”  $\mathbb{K}\langle r_{ij}^K \mid \dots \rangle$ .

**Any Questions?**