CLASS DISCUSSION

27 January 2020

Rates of growth: little o and big O notationn

Suppose that $f(x) \to \infty$ and $g(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$. We say that "*f is of smaller order than g*" if $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. In this case, we write f = o(g). Now assume that *f* and *g* are each positive for large *x*. We say that "*f is at most the order of g*" if there

is a positive integer M for which $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$ for large x. In this case, we write f = O(g).

Little *o* and big *O* notation are common in computer science, as well as in discrete mathematics. Here is an example from the CS Dept of S.F. State:

- O(1) constant time, the time is independent of *n*,
- e.g. array look-up
 O(log n) logarithmic time, usually the log is base
- 2, e.g. binary search
- O(*n*) **linear** time, e.g. linear search
- O(n*log n) e.g. efficient sorting algorithms
- O(n²) **quadratic** time, e.g. selection sort
- O(n^k) polynomial (where k is some constant)
- O(2ⁿ) exponential time, very slow!
- Order of growth of some common functions $O(1) < O(\log n) < O(n) < O(n * \log n) < O(n^2) < O(n^3) < O(2^n)$

Order-of-Magnitude Analysis and Big O Notation

(a)

	н 					
Function	10	100	1,000	10,000	100,000	1,000,000
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
log ₂ n	3	6	9	13	16	19
n	10	10 ²	10 ³	104	105	106
n ∗log₂n	30	664	9,965	105	106	107
n ²	10 ²	104	106	10 ⁸	10 ¹⁰	10 12
n ³	10 ³	106	10 ⁹	1012	1015	10 18
2 ⁿ	10 ³	1030	1030	10 3,01	0 10 30,7	⁰³ 10 ^{301,030}

A comparison of growth-rate functions: a) in tabular form



A comparison of growth-rate functions: b) in graphical form

Arithmetic of Big-O Notation

- If f(n) is O(g(n)) then c.f(n) is O(g(n)), where c is a constant.
 - Example: 23*log n is O(log n)
- If f₁(n) is O(g(n)) and f₂(n) is O(g(n)) then also f₁(n)+f₂(n) is O(g(n))
 - Example: what is order of n²+n? n² is O(n²) n is O(n) but also O(n²) therefore n²+n is O(n²)

EXERCISES:

Determine which of the following statements are true; justify each answer. (a) $3x^2 + 11 = o(x^5 + x + 99)$ (b) $x + 5 \sin x = O(x)$ (c) $2^x = o(x^{100})$

(d) $3^{x} = O(e^{x})$ (e) $x = o(\ln x)$ (f) $3 + \ln x + \ln(\ln x) + \sqrt{x} = o\left(x^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$

(g)
$$\ln x = o(\sqrt{x})$$
 (h) $(x^2+1)^4 = O((2x+1)^3x^5)$ (i) $\frac{x^2+13x+2009}{5x+1789} = O(\sqrt{x^2+9})$

(j) $\ln x = o(\ln(\ln x))$ (k) $\ln(x^{55} + x^{33} + x^{11} + 1) = O(\ln x)$ (l) 7n - 2 = O(n)

(m) $3n^3 + 20n^2 + 5 = O(n^3)$ (n) $3 \ln n + \ln(\ln n) = O(\ln n)$

Exercises (Purdue University)

Arrange the following list of functions in ascending order of growth rate, i.e. if function g(n) immediately follows f(n) in your list then, it should be the case that f(n) = O(g(n)).

$$g_1(n) = 2^{\sqrt{\ln n}} \qquad g_2(n) = 2^n \qquad g_3(n) = n^{\frac{4}{3}} \qquad g_4(n) = n(\ln n)^3$$
$$g_5(n) = n^{\ln n} \qquad g_6(n) = 2^{2^n} \qquad g_7(n) = 2^{n^2}$$



<u>Edmund Landau</u> (1877 – 1938) is known for his work in analytic number theory and the distribution of primes. He first introduced the *little oh* notation in 1909.