Homework 4

<u>Directions</u>: Below are three questions from last year's first exam. Students are to answer all three exercises, showing all relevant work. As always, conclusions and justifications are to be given in clear detailed English. For each exercise and part, be sure to clearly write down all needed assumptions and requirements. Please type up your solutions or write <u>very</u> neatly. Unless stated otherwise, use $\alpha = 0.05$.

- 1. Amphetamine is a drug that it is felt suppresses appetite. To test this effect, a pharmacologist randomly allocated 24 rats to receive one of three treatment groups (to receive an injection of amphetamine at one of two dosage levels or an injection of saline solution, i.e., amphetamine at zero dose level). She then measured the amount of food consumed by each animal in the 3-hour period following injection, and these data are reproduced, graphed and analyzed on p.1 of the *Appendix*. Her goal is to test whether there is a significant linear relationship between amphetamine dose and food consumption.
 - (a) State the assumptions that must be made for this SLR analysis **in the context of this study**. Be specific and clear.
 - (b) Test whether there is a significant linear relationship between amphetamine dose and food consumption. Be sure to write out the statistical model function, the null and alternative hypotheses, value of the relevant test statistic (TS) and distribution (including df), p-value, and your detailed and clear conclusion. The hypotheses to be tested here are implied in the description above!

Statistical Model Function						
Null	Alternative					
TS	df p-value					

Detailed and clear conclusion

- (c) Clearly interpret the estimate of the slope parameter in this SLR model **in the context of this study**. Give the units in your answer.
- 2. The new cholesterol-lowering supplement, Fibralo, was studied in a double-blind study against the marketed reference supplement, Gemfibrozil, in 34 non-insulin dependent diabetic patients. The study's objective was to compare the mean decrease in triglyceride levels (denoted "triglyceride_change" in the dataset) between the two treatment groups. The degree glycemic control, measured by hemoglobin A_{1c} levels (denoted "hemoglobin" in the dataset), was thought to be an important factor as well. This covariate was measured at the start of the study and is shown in the data listing in the *Appendix* with the percent changes in triglycerides from pre-treatment to the end of the 10-week trial. The data are graphed, listed and analyzed using Minitab in the *Appendix*.
 - (a) After removing the hemoglobin covariate, test whether there is a difference in mean responses between supplements. For this part only, assume that the respective lines are parallel. Give the relevant Minitab output # to use in the analysis, null and alternative hypothesis, observed test statistic and distribution (including df), p-value and detailed conclusion.

Use Minitab output #	Write out model function: E(Y) =	

Null ______Alternative _____

т	·c	
	э	

df	p-value

Detailed conclusion

(b) No longer making the parallelism assumption used in part (a), test whether a single regression line could be used for the two groups for the data graphed in the *Appendix*, clearly writing out your statistical model, your new hypotheses, calculated test statistic and its distribution (with df), p-value, and your detailed and clear conclusion. Identify the relevant output number(s).

Write out the model function: E(Y) =	
Null hypothesis	
Alternative hypothesis	
Showing your calculations, give the calculated test s	tatistic
Degrees of freedom	p-value
Detailed and clear conclusion	

3. Returning to Exercise 2, if the covariate (hemoglobin) was ignored and we wanted to compare the treatment means, what would our conclusion be and why? The correct output here is output # _____

Exercise 1 graph, data-listing, and output



Dose = 0.0 mg/kg	Dose = 2.5 mg/kg	Dose = 5.0 mg/kg	
112.6	73.3	38.5	
102.1	84.8	81.3	
90.2	67.3	57.1	
81.5	55.3	62.3	
105.6	80.7	51.5	
93.0	90.0	48.3	

	106.6	75.5	42.7	
	108.3	77.1	57.9	
Mean (g/kg)	100.0	75.5	55.0	
SD (g/kg)	10.7	10.7	13.3	
No. of animals	8	8	8	

Output 1.1. Regression Analysis: food_consumed versus dose						
The regression equation is food_consumed = 99.3 - 9.01 dose						
Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	т	P		
Constant	99.331	3.680	26.99	0.000		
dose	-9.008	1.140	-7.90	0.000		
S = 11.40	R-Sq =	73.9% R-	-Sq(adj) = 7	2.8%		
Analysis of Variance						
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P	
Regression	1	8113.5	8113.5	62.41	0.000	
Residual Error	22	2859.9	130.0			
Total	23	10973.4				

Exercises 2 & 3 graphs, data-listing, and output



Patient number	Treatment	Hemoglobin	Triglyceride_change	Dummy	Product
1	Fibralo	7.0	5	1	7.0
2	Fibralo	6.0	10	1	6.0
3	Fibralo	7.1	-5	1	7.1
4	Fibralo	8.6	-20	1	8.6
5	Fibralo	6.3	0	1	6.3
6	Fibralo	7.5	-15	1	7.5
7	Fibralo	6.6	10	1	6.6
8	Fibralo	7.4	-10	1	7.4
9	Fibralo	5.3	20	1	5.3
10	Fibralo	6.5	-15	1	6.5
11	Fibralo	6.2	5	1	6.2

12	Fibralo	7.8	0	1	7.8
13	Fibralo	8.5	-40	1	8.5
14	Fibralo	9.2	-25	1	9.2
15	Fibralo	5.0	25	1	5.0
16	Fibralo	7.0	-10	1	7.0
17	Gemfibrozil	5.1	10	0	0
18	Gemfibrozil	6.0	15	0	0
19	Gemfibrozil	7.2	-15	0	0
20	Gemfibrozil	6.4	5	0	0
21	Gemfibrozil	5.5	10	0	0
22	Gemfibrozil	6.0	-15	0	0
23	Gemfibrozil	5.6	-5	0	0
24	Gemfibrozil	5.5	-10	0	0
25	Gemfibrozil	6.7	-20	0	0
26	Gemfibrozil	8.6	-40	0	0
27	Gemfibrozil	6.4	-5	0	0
28	Gemfibrozil	6.0	-10	0	0
29	Gemfibrozil	9.3	-40	0	0
30	Gemfibrozil	8.5	-20	0	0
31	Gemfibrozil	7.9	-35	0	0
32	Gemfibrozil	7.4	0	0	0
33	Gemfibrozil	5.0	0	0	0
34	Gemfibrozil	6.5	-10	0	0

Output 2.1. Regression Analysis: triglyceride_change versus hemoglobin The regression equation is triglyceride_change = 65.0 - 10.6 hemoglobin Predictor Coef SE Coef т Ρ Constant 65.05 10.81 6.02 0.000 hemoglobin -10.629 1.564 -6.80 0.000 S = 10.85R-Sq = 59.1%R-Sq(adj) = 57.8% Analysis of Variance SS Source DF MS F Ρ 46.21 Regression 1 5442.7 5442.7 0.000 Residual Error 32 3769.1 117.8 9211.8 Total 33

Output 2.2. Regression Analysis: triglyceride_change versus dummy, hemoglobin, product The regression equation is triglyceride_change = 58.0 + 26.0 dummy - 10.3 hemoglobin - 2.30 product SE Coef Predictor Coef т Ρ 4.58 Constant 58.05 12.66 0.000 26.00 19.92 0.202 dummv 1.31 -10.283 -5.49 0.000 hemoglobin 1.875 -0.80 product -2.304 2.867 0.428 S = 9.734R-Sq = 69.1%R-Sq(adj) = 66.1%Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F Ρ Regression 3 6369.3 2123.1 22.41 0.000 Residual Error 30 2842.5 94.7 Total 33 9211.8

Output 2.3. Regression Analysis: triglyceride_change versus dummy The regression equation is triglyceride change = -10.3 + 6.22 dummy Predictor Coef SE Coef т Ρ Constant -10.2783.927 -2.62 0.013 Dummy 6.215 5.725 1.09 0.286 S = 16.66R-Sq = 3.6%R-Sq(adj) = 0.5%Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F Ρ Regression 1 327.2 327.2 1.18 0.286 Residual Error 32 8884.5 277.6 Total 33 9211.8

Output 2.4. Regression Analysis: triglyceride_change versus dummy, hemoglobin The regression equation is triglyceride change = 64.6 + 10.2 dummy - 11.3 hemoglobin Predictor Coef SE Coef т Ρ Constant 64.593 9.643 6.70 0.000 10.222 3.363 3.04 0.005 Dummy hemoglobin -11.268 1.410 -7.99 0.000 S = 9.678R-Sq = 68.5%R-Sq(adj) = 66.4% Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F Ρ 0.000 2 3154.0 Regression 6308.1 33.67 Residual Error 31 2903.7 93.7 33 9211.8 Total