## **Chapter 1 – Probability**

Statisticians help us make intelligent *decisions* (statistical inference) and *model* complex phenomena, and probability is the "language of statistics". Note that the *scientific method* is indeed an "endless cycle"

The *outcome space* (denoted  $\bigcirc$ ) is a listing of all outcomes of an experiment; some examples are on p.3. An *event* (e.g., denoted A) is a collection of such outcomes so A  $\subset \bigcirc$ . Important definitions related to the *null set*, *subsets*, *unions*, *intersections*, and *complements* are given on p.3, and the pictures on p.4 are helpful to visualize these. Events are *mutually exclusive* if they are pairwise disjoint, and they are *exhaustive* if their union is the whole space  $\bigcirc$ . Probability is defined on p.4 as the limit of a relative frequency.

Example 1.1-7 on pp.4-5 illustrates probability, and we perform our own simulation with the following program and graph.





Here, a die is thrown 6 times (labeled trials  $k = 1, 2 \dots 6$ ) and a "Success" occurs at least one of {trial k results in a roll of k} occurs. The probability of this happening is  $1 - (5/6)^6 = 31031/46656 = 0.665$ , and this process is repeated n = 500 times. Notice in the above graph (as on p.5), the relative frequencies approach this limit – although not uniformly. Probability is a long-run phenomenon. Example 1.1-8 provides another illustration.

<u>Probability</u> is rigorously defined on p.6: it is a real-valued set function P that assigns to each set A the number P(A) and is such that

- (a)  $P(A) \ge 0$
- (b)  $P(\odot) = 1$
- (c) For (at most countably infinite) pairwise disjoint events  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  ... (so that all  $A_k \cap A_m = 0$ ), we have

 $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup ...) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) + P(A_3) + ...$ 

Several results follow including the following six theorems.

**<u>Theorem 1.1-1</u>**. For all events A, P(A') = 1 - P(A), where A' is the complement of A.

**<u>Theorem 1.1-2</u>**. The probability of the null set is zero:  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1-3**. For all events A and B such that  $A \subset B$ ,  $P(A) \leq P(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.1-4.** For all events A, we have that  $P(A) \le 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1-5.** For all events A and B, we have that

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}) - \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B})$$

**Theorem 1.1-6.** For all events A, B and C, we have that

 $P(A \cup B \cup C) = P(A) + P(B) + P(C) - P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap C)$  $- P(B \cap C) + P(A \cap B \cap C)$ 

To illustrate Theorem 1.1-5, work through Exercise 1.1-3 (a) – (c) on p. 10. Other illustrations are Examples 1.1-10 and 1.1-11.

The *equally likely* idea on p.9 is very intuitive and demonstrated in Exercise 1.1-9 on p. 11.

**Enumeration Methods (Section 1.2, pp. 11-17)** 

When considering enumerating different combinations, the **multiplication principle** is easily demonstrated using *probability trees* (although these are sometimes impractical). Here, 'n factorial' is defined as n! = n(n-1)...(2)(1) for  $n \ge 1$  and as 0! = 1.

If we have n different objects, then each of the n! arrangements in a row of the n objects is called a permutation of the n objects. For example, the 4! = 24 permutations of  $\{A, B, C, D\}$  are

ABCD	ABDC	ACBD	ACDB	ADBC	ADCB
BACD	BADC	BCAD	BCDA	BDAC	BDCA
CABD	CADB	CBAD	CBDA	CDAB	CDBA
DABC	DACB	DBAC	DBCA	DCAB	DCBA

If there are only  $r \le n$  positions, then the number of possible ordered arrangements (called a permutation of n objects taken r at a time) is

$$_{n}P_{r} = n(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-r+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$$

So, in the above example with n=4, if there are only r=2 positions, then the number of possible ordered arrangements is 24/2 = 12; in the above list, these twelve arrangements are

AB, AC, AD, BA, BC, BD, CA, CB, CD, DA, DB, DC

When order is not relevant – for example, in the above list if AB and BA are really the same occurrence – then we need to consider combinations. From Definition 1.2-6 on p.14, each of the  $_{n}C_{r}$ 

unordered subsets is called a combination of n objects taken r at a time; here

$$_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} = \binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$$

In the above illustration, there are  ${}_{4}C_{2} = 6$  combinations of n = 4 objects taken r = 2 at a time – these are AB, AC, AD, BC, BD, CD. As discussed on p.15, the numbers  ${}_{n}C_{r}$  are called binomial coefficients and come from the expansion of  $(a+b)^{n}$  in powers of 'a' and 'b'. Note also Definitions 1.2-3 (ordered sample of size r), 1.2-4 (sampling with replacement), 1.2-5 (sampling without replacement), and 1.2-6 (combination of n objects taken r at a time).

Work through the 13 examples in this section and Ex. 1.2-7 and 1.2-9.

## **Conditional Probability (Section 1.3, pp. 18-25)**

**Consider Example 1.3-1 (p.19) regarding tulips.** 

	Early (E)	Late (L)	Total
Red (R)	5	8	13
Yellow (Y)	3	4	7
Total	8	12	20

Note that this table is conveying that the probability that a randomly selected tulip of this type is both Red and blooms Early is  $P(R \cap E) = 5/20 = 25\%$ , that one such tulip is Red is P(R) = 13/20 = 65%, that one such tulip blooms Early is P(E) = 8/20 = 40%, and that one such tulip is Red given that it blooms Early is P(R/E) = 5/8 = 62.5%. This latter probability is called a *conditional probability*, and the following rule holds in general (provided  $P(B) \neq 0$ ):

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{B}) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

**Example 1.3-3** and Figure 1.3-1 (p.20) help to visualize conditional probability. Also, on p.21, it is shown that conditional probabilities follow the axioms of a probability function, and the proofs follow directly from results for unconditional probabilities.

A direct consequence of the above definition is the multiplication rule:

 $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \times P(B/A) = P(B) \times P(A/B)$ 

Also, by extension,

 $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A) \times P(B/A) \times P(C/A \cap B)$ 

(and so on).

To illustrate, in the above if there are 20 tulips in a box and we randomly select two of them *without replacement*, then the probability that they are **both red** is:

$$\frac{13}{20} \times \frac{12}{19} = 41.05\%$$

Note that (a) this is slightly less than the answer we would obtain 'with replacement':  $(0.65)^2 = 42.25\%$ , and (b) another way to get this answer using the results of the previous section is via  $\binom{13}{2} / \binom{20}{2}$ .

Good illustrations are Examples 1.3-6 and 1.3-7 on p.23 and Examples 1.3-11 and 1.3-12 on pp.24-25. Example 1.3-10 on p.24 is easily solved using probabilities trees; we'll return to this example after we consider Bayes Theorem in Section 1.5 below.

## Independent Events (Section 1.4, pp. 27-31)

This is analogous to sampling with replacement (or sampling from an infinitely large population). Events A and B are **independent** if the occurrence of one of them does not affect the probability of the

occurrence of the other, i.e., if P(A/B) = P(A); note that P(A/B) = P(A) implies that P(B/A) = P(B). A direct consequence of this (and an alternate definition of independence) is that events A and B are independent if  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \times P(B)$ . Note that by Theorem 1.4-1, independence of A and B carries over to A' and B'.

As Example 1.4-4 on p.29, care needs to be exercised with three events: events A, B and C are independent if they are pairwise independent and if  $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A) \times P(B) \times P(C)$ .

To illustrate, note that we used the multiplication rule for independent events in our simulation example on p.1 of these notes (Example 1.1-7); see Example 1.4-6 on p.30. For another illustration, in Example 1.4-8,

- the probability of exactly one defect detected is P(1) = 0.001376
- the probability of exactly two defects detected is P(2) = 0.067224
- the probability of exactly three defects detected is P(3) = 0.931392

We can find the probability of at least one defect by adding these three numbers or (better yet) by the calculation:

$$1 - (0.01) \times (0.02) \times (0.04) = 0.999992$$

Example 1.4-9 on p.31 is interesting and is hinting at the Binomial probability distribution introduced and illustrated on p.59ff.

Bayes's Theorem (Section 1.5, pp. 33-36)

In Example 1.5-1, we consider three bowls (B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, and B<sub>3</sub>) with Red (R) and White (W) chips in them:

Also, the probabilities that we select the respective bowls are above in **RED**; these probabilities are called the *prior probabilities* for the respective bowls.

Let's find the probability that a randomly selected chip is **RED** (with no knowledge of which bowl it came from). This is best-done using probability trees, and turns out to equal 4/9.

Next, imagine that we were told that a randomly chosen chip is **RED**, and we are asked to calculate the conditional probabilities associated with each of the three bowls. We do this using the conditional probability rules of Section 1.3. For example,

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}_1/\mathbf{R}) = \frac{P(B_1) \times P(R/B_1)}{P(B_1) \times P(R/B_1) + P(B_2) \times P(R/B_2) + P(B_3) \times P(R/B_3)}$$

The denominator in this calculation is just the P(R) = 4/9 that we found above. Then, we get  $P(B_1/R) = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$ ,  $P(B_2/R) = 1/8 = 0.125$ , and  $P(B_3/R) = 5/8 = 0.625$ ; these are called the *posterior probabilities* (i.e., given that the chip is RED). Note that they have changed from the prior probabilities given above. The probability associated with the third bowl (B<sub>3</sub>) has increased since it has more RED chips.

In general, if the events  $B_1, B_2 \dots B_m$  constitute a partition of  $\mathfrak{O}$  – so that they are pairwise disjoint and their union is  $\mathfrak{O}$  – and we are given the prior probabilities  $P(B_k)$  for all k and if we know for event A all conditional probabilities  $P(A/B_1)$ , then the posterior probabilities can be calculated using Bayes's theorem:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{A}) = \frac{P(B_k) \times P(A/B_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^m P(B_j) \times P(A/B_j)}$$

Applications of Bayes's theorem in medicine are widespread as in Example 1.5-3 on pp.35-36. The *sensitivity* of this test is 84% and the *specificity* of the test is 81%.